

LICK OPTICAL SPECTRA OF
QUASAR HS 1946+7658 AT 10 km/sec
RESOLUTION: LYMAN-ALPHA FOREST AND
METAL ABSORPTION SYSTEMS ¹

XIAO-MING FAN^{2, 3} and DAVID TYTLER^{2, 4}

To appear in the Astrophysical Journal Supplements

Received: January 14, 1994

Accepted: February 10, 1994

¹ Based on observations obtained at Lick Observatory, University of California.

² Center for Astrophysics and Space Sciences, 0111, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093–0111. (fan@cass154.ucsd.edu, tytler@cass155.ucsd.edu)

³ Department of Astronomy, Columbia University, New York

⁴ Department of Physics, University of California, San Diego

ABSTRACT

We present optical spectra of the most luminous known QSO HS 1946+7658 ($z_{em} = 3.051$). Our spectra have both full wavelength coverage, 3240 – 10570 Å, and in selected regions, either high signal to noise ratio, $SNR \simeq 40 - 100$, or unusually high $\sim 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ resolution, and in parts of the Ly α forest and to the red of Ly α emission they are among the best published. We find 113 Ly α systems and six metal line systems, three of which are new. The metal systems at $z_{abs} = 2.844$ and 3.050 have complex velocity structure with four and three prominent components respectively.

We find that the system at $z_{abs} = 2.844$ is a damped Ly α absorption (DLA) system, with a neutral hydrogen column density of $\log N(\text{H I}) = 20.2 \pm 0.4$, and it is the cause of the Lyman limit break at $\lambda \sim 3520 \text{ Å}$. We believe that most of the H I column density in this system is in $z_{abs} = 2.8443$ component which shows the strongest low ionization absorption lines. The metal abundance in the gas phase of the system is $[M/H] \simeq -2.6 \pm 0.3$, with a best estimate of $[M/H] = -2.8$, with ionization parameter $\Gamma = -2.75$, from a photoionization model. The ratios of the abundances of C, O, Al, and Si are all within a factor of two of solar, which is important for two reasons. First, we believe that the gas abundances which we measure are close to the total abundances, because the ratio of Aluminum to other elements is near cosmic, and Al is a refractory element which depletes very readily, like Chromium, in the interstellar medium. Second, we do not see the enhancement of O with respect to C of $[O/C] \simeq 0.5 - 0.9$ reported in three partial Lyman limit systems by Reimers et al. 1992 and Vogel & Reimers 1993; we measure $[O/C] = -0.06$ for observed ions and $[O/C] \simeq 0.2$ after ionization corrections, which is consistent with solar abundances. We see C II*($\lambda 1335$) offset by 15 km s^{-1} with respect to C II($\lambda 1334$), presumably because the gas density varies from $2 - 8 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ with changing velocity in the DLA system. These densities imply that the damped component is $6 - 25 \text{ pc}$ thick, which is reasonable for a single cloud in a cold spiral disk.

The system at $z_{abs} = 1.7382$ is also believed to be damped with $N(\text{H I}) \sim 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, because we see Cr II, but its Ly α line will never be seen because it is below the Lyman limit system (LLS) in the other DLA system.

We see a 2.6σ lack of Ly α forest lines well away from the QSO redshift, which may be a chance fluctuation. We also see a correlation between column density $N(\text{H I})$ and Doppler parameter b for 96 unsaturated Ly α forest absorption lines, and although this correlation persists in the 36 Ly α lines which lie in regions where the $SNR \simeq 8 - 16$, we agree with Rauch et al. (1993) that it is probably a bogus effect of low SNR. The same applies to lines with very low b values: in regions where $SNR \leq 8$ we see many Ly α lines which appear to have $10 \leq b \leq 20$, but when $8 \leq SNR \leq 16$ we see only one line with $b \leq 15 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, and two others which we believe have $b \leq 20$, with values of 20 and 16 km s^{-1} . Traditional Ly α line samples which include all lines which have $W/\sigma(W) \geq 4$ are not adequate to explore the

distribution of the properties of individual clouds, because we need much higher $W/\sigma(W)$ and SNR to avoid the strong biases.

Subject Headings: cosmology – galaxy: intergalactic medium – galaxy: abundance – quasars: absorption lines – quasars: individual : HS 1946+7658

1. INTRODUCTION

QSOs at high redshift are interesting because they existed long time ago, and their optical spectra contain several absorption systems with metal lines and many hundreds of Lyman-alpha ($\text{Ly}\alpha$) forest absorption lines. The metal systems arise from high redshift galaxies, which are usually too faint to see. We can measure many of the properties of their absorbing gas, including the ionization, chemical composition and velocity distribution, but usually not the density or size, and we want to know what these absorbers can tell us about young galaxies.

The main issues with the high redshift $\text{Ly}\alpha$ forest lines, are their column densities, ionization, metallicity, velocity dispersions, clustering and origins. We originally proposed that high redshift $\text{Ly}\alpha$ systems are intergalactic clouds (Sargent et al. 1980), but our recent work on clouds at low redshifts $z \leq 1$ have shown that at least $35 \pm 10\%$, and probably $65 \pm 18\%$ of these $\text{Ly}\alpha$ forest systems arise from the outer regions of normal galaxies (Lanzetta et al. 1994).

Studies of both the metal lines and the $\text{Ly}\alpha$ forest lines require high resolution spectra, because we need to resolve the absorption lines, which are typically $10 - 40 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ wide, to get reliable column densities. Unfortunately only a hand full of the 350 known QSOs at $z_{em} \geq 2.8$ are bright enough for echelle spectra with 4-m telescopes.

Here we present FWHM= 10 km s^{-1} echelle spectra of QSO HS 1946+7658, which is the most luminous known QSO, with $V=15.85$ (Hagen et al. 1992) and $z_{em}=3.051$ (our new value). Prior to Keck, only two other QSOs have been observed at higher resolution (Q2206–199N at FWHM= 6 km s^{-1} by Pettini et al. 1990a; and Q1100–264 at FWHM= $8.5-9 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ by Carswell et al. 1991), and a few others at lower resolutions (Q2126–158 at FWHM= 14 km s^{-1} by Giallongo et al. 1993; Q0014+813 at FWHM= 20 km s^{-1} by Rauch et al. 1992; Q0420–388 at FWHM $\sim 33 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ by Atwood et al. 1985; Q2000–330 at FWHM $\sim 33 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ by Carswell et al. 1987; HS 1700+6416 at FWHM $\sim 35 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ by Sanz et al. 1993). Our spectra have the highest signal to noise ratio per Ångstrom redward of $\text{Ly}\alpha$ emission line, where metal lines predominate.

In this paper we present new data on the $\text{Ly}\alpha$ forest and the metal systems.

1.1 *Lyman-alpha Forest*

Our first goal is the study of the column densities, velocity dispersions and redshift distribution of the $\text{Ly}\alpha$ forest absorption lines. Pettini et al. (1990a, hereafter PHSM) claimed that most $\text{Ly}\alpha$ forest clouds have Doppler parameter $b \leq 22 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, and that b correlates with column density $N(\text{H I})$. They interpreted their results to imply that (1) b values are largely determined by bulk rather than thermal motions, and hence (2) the $\text{Ly}\alpha$ forest clouds may be mostly cold ($T \leq 5000 - 10,000 \text{ K}$), thin, dense filaments or sheets. Their results imply that the typical $\text{Ly}\alpha$ forest cloud is 10^{-5} pc thick, which is uncomfortably low and contradicts the usual belief that the clouds are highly ionized with temperatures

of $T \sim 3 \times 10^4$ K and typical sizes of 10–100 kpc (Sargent et al. 1980). This issue has been discussed in several recent papers. Carswell et al. (1991) and Rauch et al. (1992) did not see the $N(\text{H I})$ – b correlation in Q1100–264 and Q0014+813 respectively. Rauch et al. (1993) re-analyzed the original PHSM spectrum of Q2206–199N and confirmed the appearance of both the $N(\text{H I})$ – b correlation, and the very narrow lines, but their simulations showed that both were probably artifacts of low SNR.

1.2 Metal Abundances

Our second goal is the study of the velocity structure and especially the metal abundances of the metal line systems. There are six main obstacles on the path to metal abundances.

1. Resolution of the velocity components. The metal line systems nearly always consist of many different velocity components, which produce strongly blended lines. For example, Lanzetta & Bowen (1992) presented $\text{FWHM}=7\text{--}35 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ spectra of four metal systems at $0.4 < z_{\text{abs}} < 0.7$ from known galaxies. Two of these are absorption complexes, with multi-component structure which covers $100 - 300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. This structure, and especially the very narrow components with $\text{FWHM} \leq 20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, makes it hard to be certain that all of the gas has been seen, which is the second obstacle.

2. Hidden low b components. The components with the highest column density are often the coolest, with the lowest ionization, so they have the smallest b values, and their absorption lines can be weak, and be hidden by other components. The solution is to look at lines with lower and lower oscillator strengths, until only one component remains visible, which will be the one with the highest column density. This requires very high resolution and SNR.

3. Column Densities for each component of each ion. Each velocity component in a system can have its own ionization, abundance, and depletion, so we should try to get each of these properties for each velocity component. The column densities are clearly the key, because if we know them for each component we can treat each as a separate system, but they are hard to get. For example, with hydrogen we have only the Lyman series lines, and they all have relatively large oscillator strengths so the components blend together. There are three solutions: (1) use the damping profile of $\text{Ly}\alpha$ line to get the total column density, assume that most of this H I is associated with the component with the most neutral gas and ignore all other components; (2) use 21 cm absorption to resolve the H I components, but only the largest column densities absorb at 21 cm and there are few high redshift radio loud QSOs; (3) find systems with simple velocity structure, which might introduce new biases.

4. Ionization. If all of the gas is neutral, then the observed column densities of the low ions are the total column densities. But if it is ionized, then we need to observe all abundant stages of ionization and sum their column densities to get the total gas abundance. We

frequently need an ionization model to estimate the column densities of ions which are not seen, as we will do here in §4.2.5 for a damped Ly α absorption (hereafter DLA) system.

5. Depletion. There is now good evidence that significant proportions of the refractory elements in some DLA systems are in dust. Fall & Pei (1989) have clearly shown that QSOs with DLA systems have redder continua, which implies typical dust to gas ratios of 5 – 25% of that in the Milky Way.

Absorption lines give *gas phase abundances*, which can be very different from total abundances, because in the local ISM up to 99% of refractory elements like Cr, Al, Ca, Ni and Ti are in dust, and only 1% remain in the gas. The Fall & Pei results then imply that 4 – 20% of the refractory elements will be in the gas phase.

The level of depletion can be estimated from the ratios of the abundances of refractory to non-refractory elements. Rauch et al. (1990) found that the metal abundance in a DLA system at $z_{abs} = 2.076$ toward QSO 2206–199N is very low $[\text{Si}/\text{H}] \leq -2.4$, without much depletion onto dust.⁵

Pettini et al. (1990b) advocated the Cr/Zn column density ratio because (1) in our Galaxy Cr depletes readily while Zn does not, (2) both elements have lines with oscillator strengths which are low, and we hope low enough that only the component with the largest column density will be seen, and (3) the lines have similar rest wavelength’s. Recently Pettini et al. (1994) measured Cr/Zn ratios in seventeen DLA systems. They found gas phase abundances of about 0.1 solar for Zn, and depletions which left 16% of the Cr in the gas phase, compared with 1% the local ISM, which is consistent with the implication of Fall & Pei’s results.

6. Interpretation. There are two main problems of interpretation. First we do not know where the absorption is occurring, and we expect abundances to vary both from galaxy to galaxy and within a galaxy at a given epoch. Second, the abundances can be weighted many ways: for example, we can (1) take only the highest abundance in a system, (2) average all components in a system, which may be one galaxy, or a group of galaxies, (3) calculate the cosmological average abundance, which is the mean cosmological density of metals divided by that of hydrogen.

The partial Lyman limit systems and the DLA systems are best suited for abundance work. The LLSs include all absorption systems with sufficient H I to be optically thick to the Lyman continuum and they include all DLA systems. Some LLSs have neutral hydrogen column densities 4 – 5 orders of magnitude below those of the DLA systems, but the total hydrogen column densities can be comparable. It is very hard to get abundances for ordinary LLS with $\tau \gg 1$ because no QSO radiation is visible at $\lambda < 912 \text{ \AA}$ and there are not enough ions with lines at $\lambda > 912 \text{ \AA}$ to show the level of ionization. However there are

⁵ We use the usual notation $[\text{M}/\text{H}] \equiv \log (\text{M}/\text{H}) - \log (\text{M}/\text{H})_{\odot}$ to compare measured abundance with solar values.

numerous partial LLSs with $\tau \leq 1$ which do transmit radiation at $\lambda < 912 \text{ \AA}$, and both the ionization and abundances can be measured in these systems using the numerous metal absorption lines at $500 \leq \lambda \leq 900 \text{ \AA}$.

The DLA systems are simpler. For them we normally assume that the velocity component with the strongest low ionization lines is completely neutral, and we ignore gas in all other velocity components, much of which is ionized.

We already know that the LLSs have a different abundance distribution from the DLA systems, because some LLSs are apparently metal free, but all DLA systems show metal lines.

Early work on LLSs abundances includes Steidel (1990), who found typical gas phase metal abundances in eight LLSs at $z_{abs} \approx 3$ of $[M/H] \sim -2.5$, and Sargent et al. (1990) who reported $[M/H] \leq -2.3$ in one partial LLS at $z_{abs}=2.9676$ toward QSO PKS 2126–158. In an important set of papers, Reimers et al. (1992) and Vogel & Reimers (1993) showed that far UV lines allow easy estimation of the ionization and hence abundances in partial LLS. For three systems at $1.8 \leq z_{abs} \leq 2.4$ they find abundances $[C/H] = -1.7, -1.9, -2.3$, and they see that both O and N are over abundant with respect to C: $[O/C] = 0.6, 0.8, 0.9$, and $[N/C] = 0.4, 0.8, 0.8$.

1.3 Contents of This Paper

We describe the spectrograph and the data reduction in §2 and §3. In §4 we discuss individual metal line absorption system, and we estimate the gas phase metal abundance for the DLA system at $z_{abs}=2.8443$. In §5 we discuss the column density $N(\text{H I})$, Doppler parameter b distribution and the $N(\text{H I}) - b$ correlation for the Ly α forest clouds. We summarize our results in §6.

All wavelengths in this paper are vacuum values, and all observed wavelengths have been reduced to the solar rest frame. We use $H_0=100 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ and $q_0=0.5$ where necessary.

2. SPECTROSCOPIC OBSERVATIONS

Here we present spectra of quasar HS 1946+7658 with intermediate resolution (FWHM $\sim 1.9 \text{ \AA} - 5.3 \text{ \AA}$), from $3240 \text{ \AA}$ to $10570 \text{ \AA}$, and high resolution (FWHM $\sim 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, or $\sim 0.14\text{--}0.24 \text{ \AA}$) from $4220 \text{ \AA}$ to $7251 \text{ \AA}$.

Table 1 is a journal of observations. All spectra described in this paper were obtained using the Lick 3-meter Shane telescope. The intermediate resolution spectra were obtained in 11.56 hours with the Cassegrain *Kast Double Spectrograph* during a QSO identification program described by Tytler et al. (1993), and the Coudé *Hamilton Echelle* spectra were obtained in 11 hours during two nights, one in 1992, and the other in 1993.

2.1 *Kast Spectra with 1.9 – 5.3 Å FWHM*

The superb new Kast Cassegrain double spectrograph records blue and red spectra simultaneously. We used a dichroic beam splitter with a nominal wavelength of 4600 Å. The red side (4000 – 11000 Å) light path is identical to the former Lick Cassegrain UV Schmidt spectrograph (Miller & Stone 1987), with a silvered mirror collimator, reflection gratings and a Schmidt camera. The blue side (3000 – 7000 Å) has an identical (aluminized) collimator, transmission grisms, and a refracting camera. Thinned Reticon 1200×400 CCDs were used in each of the cameras, with nine electrons readout noise on the red side and seven on the blue.

In Table 1 we describe the five setups, A to E, which we used to cover the wavelength range from 3240 – 10570 Å. We used 1.5 arcseconds slit for all Kast observations, and we rotated the spectrograph to align the slit with the mid-exposure parallactic angle. The sky was clear and the seeing ranged from 1.3 to 2.0 arcseconds with an average 1.6 arcseconds.

2.2 *Hamilton Echelle*

The *Hamilton Echelle Spectrograph* is described by Vogt (1987) and Misch (1991). This instrument lives in the Coudé room, is fed by the Coudé focus of the 3-m telescope. An off-axis mirror produces an 8.15-inch collimated beam which is dispersed by an 8 × 12 inch 31.6 g/mm echelle which has a blaze angle of 64.°7. The light is cross-dispersed by two UBK7 glass (UV absorbing) prisms, and is focused by a folded Schmidt camera. We used a thinned backside illuminated Texas Instruments 12 mm square 800 × 800 CCD with 15 μ pixels and seven electron readout noise. Ignoring slit losses, the telescope – instrument – detector system has a measured throughput which rises steeply in the blue, from 0.2% at 3400 Å, to 1% at 3800 Å and 4% at 4200 Å. It has a flat peak of 5.7% from 5000 – 6200 Å, and a gradual linear decline to 2% at 8200 Å and 1% at 9400 Å (Misch 1991).

The CCD was binned 2 × 2 pixels on the chip prior to readout. A major advantage of an 8-inch beam on a 120-inch telescope is the ability to get high resolution with a large slit. The Hamilton has a (resolution) × (slit width) “throughput” of RS=50,600 arcseconds, which is slightly larger than UCLES on the AAT, and UES on the William Herschel, and HIRES on the Keck I, because they all have larger primary mirrors. But comparison between telescopes should actually be made using the dimensionless number, (RS/seeing), which incorporates the advantages of good seeing.

We took full advantage of the high throughput of this instrument with a wide 2.5 arcseconds slit, which projected to two binned pixels ($2 \times 2 \times 15 \mu = 60 \mu$) and gave an effective resolution of about two binned pixels which is 0.16 Å, or 10 km s^{−1} at λ ∼ 4800 Å.

The exposures were centered on the meridian and the seeing was about 1.8 arcseconds. We did not use an image rotator or atmospheric dispersion corrector, so some blue flux will have been lost at the slit. The five mirror Coudé system was used because the quasar is above the 52 degree declination limit of the three-mirror train. We expect that the

telescope throughput with the five-mirror system is only about 60% of that with the three-mirror train because of the additional reflections and some misalignment between the three and five-mirror systems (Misch, private communications).

In 1992 we took a single 4.97 hour exposure which covered $4220 - 5260 \text{ \AA}$ in echelle orders 135 – 109. This had very low SNR in the blue ($\text{SNR} = 1$ per $0.07 \text{ \AA}$ pixel at $\lambda=4255 \text{ \AA}$), but was good farther to the red ($\text{SNR}=8$ at $4930 \text{ \AA}$).

In 1993 we took a 6 hour exposure of $4517 - 7251 \text{ \AA}$ (echelle orders from 126 to 79). In the overlap region from $4517-5260 \text{ \AA}$, half of which is in the $\text{Ly}\alpha$ forest, the SNR rises from 3 in the blue, to a peak of 16 at $4900 \text{ \AA}$ in the $\text{Ly}\alpha$ emission line.

The echelle spectra have gaps between all orders because the 12 mm TI CCD is too narrow. In Table 2 we list the measured wavelength range of each order, and the SNR near the order center.

3. DATA REDUCTION

Data reduction was carried out with the NOAO IRAF software package. We reduced the intermediate resolution spectra in the usual way. We removed cosmic rays and divided by a flat field. The spectra were optimally extracted with a routine similar to that described by Horne (1986). A 1σ uncertainty array was also obtained. For wavelength calibration we used fifth or higher order polynomial to fit spectra of the Ne, He, Ar and Hg comparison lamps. The typical residual in the fit was about $0.1 - 0.2 \text{ \AA}$, depending on resolution of the spectrum. We obtained an approximate flux scale by observing a spectrophotometric standard star although the absolute level of the flux scale is unreliable because we used a 1.5 arcseconds slit, and the standard star is much brighter than, and at different air mass from, the QSO. The spectra with overlapping wavelength coverage were rebinned to a common linear wavelength scale with about the same bin size as before rebinning, weighted by their variance, and summed. The resulting spectra are shown in Figure 1(a–e). The flux is f_ν in units of micro-Jansky, and the wavelengths are vacuum heliocentric values. The data have not been smoothed, and we show individual pixels.

We reduced the high resolution echelle spectra in the same way. We extracted the spectral data using an optimal technique. Each order was extracted from about 4 binned pixels along the slit, while the background (sky plus dark current) was the average over four orders of the 5–6 binned pixels in the minima between orders. A 1σ uncertainty array was also obtained. We used Th-Ar lamp spectra obtained before and after each QSO exposure to determine wavelength scale. Since each echelle order covers only about $30 \text{ \AA}$, we used a linear fits which gave residuals of less than $0.01 \text{ \AA}$.

We obtain only one spectrum each night. They differ in wavelength coverage, but there is substantial overlap in each of orders 126–109, where we combined them because they had similar SNR.

In Figure 2 we show all 31 orders which show absorption lines. The other 26 orders which are all redward of the $\text{Ly}\alpha$ emission line are not shown. All orders are divided by

continua which are cubic spline fits. We ignored high sigma pixels, and fitted the splines to the mean continuum in apparently line free regions, but occasionally we had to add a point by eye to jump over strong absorption lines. The data have not been smoothed, and we show individual binned pixels.

3.1 Comments on the Spectra

A Lyman Limit system is clearly seen at $\lambda \sim 3520 \text{ \AA}$ in the setup-A spectrum (Figure 1a). In the setup-B spectrum most of the absorption lines are $\text{Ly}\alpha$ forest. A strong absorption feature at $\lambda \sim 4673 \text{ \AA}$ is the DLA line. The core of this line should go to zero flux but it does not, because of bad sky subtraction, which we were unable to correct.

Three percent CCD fringing can be seen from about $6600 \text{ \AA}$ to $7100 \text{ \AA}$ in the setup-D. We did attempt to correct for atmospheric absorption, especially the B band ($6867 \text{ \AA}$), A band ($7600 \text{ \AA}$) and OH absorption at $7160\text{--}7340 \text{ \AA}$, but the B and the A bands can still be seen in the setup-D spectrum at $\lambda=6875 \text{ \AA}$ and $\lambda=7608 \text{ \AA}$. The absorption lines (No. 10, 11 & 12) in the setup D spectrum are probably not real because of atmospheric OH absorption. We did not correct the atmospheric absorption in the setup-E spectrum, which is dominated by the OH absorption at wavelengths $9000\text{--}9900 \text{ \AA}$.

3.2 Emission Lines

The setup-C spectrum covers the major emission lines: $\text{Ly}\alpha$, N V, O I and Si IV + O VI]. Other emission lines such as C IV and C III are seen in the setup-D spectrum. In Table 3 we give the peak positions and the equivalent widths, corrected for absorptions, of the prominent emission lines. All the emission lines have extended blue wings and steep red wings, and none of the equivalent widths are well determined because the lines are weak or blended. There is no obvious peak for N V at $\lambda \sim 5120 \text{ \AA}$, and $\text{Ly}\alpha$ has a double peak. The errors on the measurements of the line peak positions are typically $1\text{--}2 \text{ \AA}$. The errors on equivalent width are at least 10%. The ion laboratory wavelengths are adopted from Table 8 of Tytler & Fan (1992). The final average emission redshift is mean of O I, Si IV+O VI], C IV and C III] lines weighted by their equivalent widths. We do not use H I, N V or the He II+[O III] lines, because they are blended. The mean emission redshift is $\langle z_{em} \rangle = 3.051$.

3.3 Absorption Lines

The absorption lines in the intermediate resolution spectra were analyzed using the techniques described by Young et al. (1979) and Tytler (1982). The continuum was fitted with a cubic spline, and was sometimes adjusted to obtain a more precise fit, especially in emission line regions. The absorption lines were selected and measured only if they exceeded $4.5\sigma(W)$, where $\sigma(W)$ is the random error in the measurement of the observed equivalent width W_{obs} . In Table 4 we list the observed wavelengths, equivalent widths and their 1σ uncertainties, as well as the SNR of the continuum and the line identifications.

We attempted to separate blended lines into their components. The equivalent widths tabulated for these components cannot be considered accurate, but the sum of the equivalent width should be good. In addition the errors on the wavelengths are probably larger than quoted for some partially blended lines

For the echelle spectral data we did not try to measure absorption line centers and equivalent widths. Instead we used χ^2 fits to determine the line centers. Since our spectral resolution allows us to identify the metal lines in the Ly α forest, we assume that most unidentified lines are Ly α .

3.4 Errors in the Wavelength Scale

Errors in the wavelength calibrations hinder the identification of absorption lines, especially in the low resolution spectra. Since the five intermediate resolution spectra overlap, we checked the wavelength scales.

We found 24 absorption lines in common in setups A and B, and we list the wavelengths for each in Table 4. Their average wavelength difference is -0.07 ± 0.14 Å, which is about 0.2 pixels.

We also found 15 absorption lines in common in both setups B and C. Since the setup-B spectrum has better resolution than the setup-C spectrum, we list only the setup B absorption lines in Table 4, but the average wavelength difference is 1.05 ± 0.14 Å. This is about one pixel shift, which is not unexpected because we are at the ends of the spectra.

To check our wavelength calibration in setup-E we measure atomic OH sky emission line wavelengths in our extracted sky spectrum, and compare them with the wavelengths given by Osterbrock & Martel (1992). The average wavelength difference is $\langle \Delta\lambda \rangle = 0.07 \pm 0.04$ Å, with $\sigma = 0.07$ Å.

3.5 Absorption Line Parameters

We have measured absorption line parameters such as absorption redshift (z_{abs}), Doppler parameter ($b = \sqrt{2}\sigma$) and column density (N), using Voigt profile fits to the echelle spectra. Each absorption line was fitted with a Voigt profile convolved with the instrumental profile and multiplied by the continuum. The line parameters z_{abs} , b and N were determined from the minimum χ^2 . The fitting code includes the MINUIT package from the CERN library which uses several methods to find the global minimum. For further details on the minimization and error analysis methods see James & Roos (1975).

We estimated the continuum for each echelle order with a cubic spline fit to spectral regions which were apparently line free. But in the wavelength region from 4665 Å to 4700 Å, where the damping wing of a DLA line covers the whole order, the continuum was estimated by comparing the line structure with the intermediate resolution spectrum, and with the continua of the neighboring orders. There is nearly zero flux at $\lambda \sim 4673$ Å in the core region of the DLA line.

In Table 5, we list the results of our fits to these absorption lines, together with their cross identifications in the spectra from setups B, C & D. These fits are also shown in Figure 2. We used the minimum number of components which gave an acceptable fit.

4. HEAVY ELEMENT ABSORPTION SYSTEMS

First we discuss the individual absorption systems in §4.1, then in §4.2 we estimate the metal abundance in the DLA system at $z_{abs}=2.8443$.

4.1 Comments on Individual Absorption Systems

Hagen et al. (1992) found three unequivocal metal absorption systems at $z_{abs} = 1.738$, 2.843, and 3.048. They claimed that the $\text{Ly}\alpha$ absorption feature at $\sim 4670 \text{ \AA}$, which belongs to the 2.843 system, splits into several components. Our spectra confirm these three systems and we find three new metal line systems at $z_{abs}=1.1190$, 2.6444, 2.8927, all with weak lines. We also see an LLS at $\lambda \sim 3520 \text{ \AA}$ which is part of the 2.843 system. Two of these absorption systems have multi-component structure. We now discuss each separately. Table 6 is a summary of the ion lines seen in each system. We omit the many lines which we identified in Tables 4 and 5 in the $\text{Ly}\alpha$ forest. Column densities and b values are given for lines in the echelle spectra.

$z_{abs}=1.1190$. — We identify a Mg II doublet ($\lambda\lambda 2796, 2803$) in the setup-C spectrum. The correspond strong Fe II absorption lines such as Fe II $\lambda 2382$, $\lambda 2600$, $\lambda 2344$ are marginally visible at the expected positions, but they are too weak to be listed. The Voigt profile fit to the Mg II ($\lambda 2803$) in order 96 (line No. 152) indicates that the line has $b=11 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. The Mg II $\lambda 2796$ line was not covered in our echelle spectra.

$z_{abs}=1.7382$. — This system is certain because we identify all five strong Fe II lines ($\lambda 2344$, $\lambda 2374$, $\lambda 2382$, $\lambda 2586$ and $\lambda 2600$), Mg II ($\lambda\lambda 2796, 2803$; note that $\lambda 2796$ is blended with the atmospheric A-band), and Al III $\lambda 1854$. Blueward of the $\text{Ly}\alpha$ emission line we identify C IV ($\lambda\lambda 1548, 1550$), Si IV ($\lambda\lambda 1393, 1402$), Al II $\lambda 1670$, Fe II $\lambda 1608$, Si II $\lambda 1526$, Ni II $\lambda 1370$, C I $\lambda 1656$ and C I $\lambda 1329$ lines. The C IV doublet lines are about half an Angstrom off with respect to Fe II lines, which is consistent with the wavelength calibration errors which we presented in §3.4. Some of the above lines in the $\text{Ly}\alpha$ forest region are blended with the lines of other systems.

This system may be damped, because we see weak Cr II lines, but the DLA line will never be seen because it is below the LLS at $\lambda \sim 3520 \text{ \AA}$ in the $z_{abs} = 2.844$ complex. In the intermediate resolution spectrum two Cr II lines look real, but their strengths are reversed: $\lambda 2056.25$ ($f=0.140$, $W_{obs} = 0.14 \text{ \AA}$), and $\lambda 2066.16$ ($f=0.0698$, $W_{obs} = 0.17 \text{ \AA}$). The third Cr line at $\lambda 2062.23$ ($f=0.105$) is lost in the blue wing of line 11 in setup C.

In the echelle spectrum the Cr $\lambda 2066$ has the same $W_{obs} = 0.17 \text{ \AA}$, but it is shallow and about 70 km s^{-1} wide, sufficient that it may be two lines, which would explain why it has a larger W than Cr II $\lambda 2056$, which should be the stronger of the two. Our best guess is that $\lambda 2066$ has $W_{obs} \simeq 0.09 - 0.14 \text{ \AA}$. Cr II $\lambda 2056$ is in an inter-order gap, and $\lambda 2062$ has

$W_{obs} \simeq 0.06 \text{ \AA}$ which is weaker than expected. If the Cr II lines are real, then the column density is about 10^{13} cm^{-2} with a range of about $(0.6 - 2) \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}$.

Pettini et al. (1990b) found that the Cr abundance is about 0.01 of solar in one DLA system with column density $\log N(\text{H I})=21.4$, at $z_{abs}=2.3091$, toward QSO PHL 957. Since most gaseous Cr will be Cr^+ in DLA systems, this means that gaseous Cr is underabundant by a factor of 100. The recent Pettini et al. (1994) study of 17 DLA systems with column densities of $(1-60) \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ shows that the PHL 957 DLA is typical, and that the average DLA has an abundance of $[\text{Cr}/\text{H}] < -1.75$. With the above Cr II column density, and $[\text{Cr}/\text{H}]=-2$, the hydrogen column density would be $N(\text{H I}) \sim 1.7 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. Therefore the system would be a DLA if the Cr lines are real.

Order 101 of our echelle spectra covers Cr II($\lambda\lambda 2062, 2066$) lines, but the SNR is not high enough for us to see the lines.

We see in the echelle spectra that the Fe II ($\lambda 2344, \lambda 2374$ and $\lambda 2600$) lines all have simple velocity structure. Voigt profile fits to these lines give $b=16 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. We estimate the gas phase abundance $[\text{Fe}^+/\text{H}] \sim -2.6$.

$z_{abs}=2.6444$. — We identify only the C IV doublet ($\lambda\lambda 1548, 1550$) absorption lines in this system. They are lines 146 and 149 in echelle order 101, although line 146 is slightly blended with a component of the absorption complex at $z_{abs}=3.05$. In setup-C we only identify line 12 as the C IV($\lambda 1550$). The C IV($\lambda 1548$) line is embedded in the strong line 11. A fit to the line profile of the C IV doublet gives $b=13 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Line 27 in echelle order 129 is the $\text{Ly}\alpha$ absorption line. We find $[\text{C}^{+++}/\text{H}^0] \sim -0.5$, although the H I column density is not very accurate because it is blended with other $\text{Ly}\alpha$ lines. We can not determine the total gas phase abundances because we did not know the ionization.

$z_{abs}=2.844$ 4-component complex. — ($z_{abs} = 2.843$ in Hagen et al. 1992) This system contains the conspicuous DLA line at $\lambda \sim 4673 \text{ \AA}$. The echelle spectrum shows the damping wing of the line ($4665 \text{ \AA} - 4700 \text{ \AA}$), and the intermediate resolution spectra show the H Lyman series lines up to Lyman-9 $\lambda 920$. Metal ions including C IV ($\lambda\lambda 1548, 1550$), Si IV ($\lambda\lambda 1393, 1402$), Si II $\lambda 1526$, C II $\lambda 1334$ and O I $\lambda 1302$ were all found redward of the $\text{Ly}\alpha$ emission line in setup-C. Other metal species such as Si II ($\lambda\lambda 1190, 1193$), O VI ($\lambda\lambda 1031, 1037$), Si II $\lambda 1260$, Si III $\lambda 1206$, N II $\lambda 1083$ and C III $\lambda 977$ were found in the $\text{Ly}\alpha$ forest region.

The echelle spectra cover most of the above lines, plus Al II($\lambda 1670$) and the fine-structure line C II*($\lambda 1335$). These spectra also resolve most of the strong lines in the intermediate resolution spectra. In Figure 3, we show that the system contains at least four velocity components at $z_{abs}=2.8434, 2.8438, 2.8443$ and 2.8452 . The $z_{abs} = 2.8443$ components probably dominates the total column density because it shows the strongest low ionization lines. In the $z_{abs} = 2.8434$ component the Si II($\lambda 1526$) line is very weak (line 150 in order 97), and would not have been identified if we did not know the redshift.

We find that the Lyman limit edge at $\lambda \sim 3520 \text{ \AA}$ is associated with this DLA complex. In Figure 4 we show a simultaneous fit to the H Lyman series absorption lines at $z_{abs} = 2.8443$ with $\log N(\text{H I})=19.8$ and $b_{\text{H}}=35 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. This shows that the LLS is associated with this absorbing cloud.

Column densities, velocity dispersions and abundances in this system are discussed in §4.2.

$z_{abs}=2.8927$. — This is a new system. In the intermediate resolution spectra we identify a secure C IV doublet ($\lambda\lambda 1548, 1550$), and in the Ly α forest region, the Si III $\lambda 1206$ and C III $\lambda 977$ lines. We also see the H Lyman series lines up to Lyman-10 $\lambda 919$. The Ly α absorption line may blend with Ly α lines of two other systems in the echelle spectra. The C IV $\lambda 1548$ line is apparently broad in echelle order 95, which we do not show because the SNR is very low near the line.

$z_{abs}=3.050$ 3-component complex. — In the intermediate resolution spectra we identify many metal species including C IV ($\lambda\lambda 1548, 1550$), Si IV ($\lambda\lambda 1393, 1402$), N V ($\lambda\lambda 1238, 1242$), C II $\lambda 1334$ and Si II $\lambda 1260$ redward of Ly α emission line, and Si III $\lambda 1206$, O VI ($\lambda\lambda 1031, 1036$), N II $\lambda 1083$, N III $\lambda 989$, Si II $\lambda 989$ and C III $\lambda 977$ in the Ly α forest region. We also identify three strong lines: Ly α , Ly β and Ly γ .

The Ly α absorption line (No. 97 in Fig. 1b) is very strong compared to other Ly α forest lines. This suggests complex velocity structure, which we confirm in the echelle spectra, where we see three components at $z_{abs}=3.0483, 3.0495$ and 3.0504 .

In order 112 two Si II $\lambda 1260$ lines at $5104 \text{ \AA}$ and $5105 \text{ \AA}$ give redshifts $z_{abs}=3.0495$ and 3.0503 . They appear as a single strong line in setup-C (Figure 1c, line No. 5). The N V $\lambda 1238$ lines in these components are seen in order 114, although the $z_{abs}=3.0504$ component is relative weak. The third component at $z_{abs}=3.0483$ is seen from the C IV doublet in order 91, and also from Si IV($\lambda 1393$) in order 101, but the latter may be blended with C IV($\lambda 1548$) at $z_{abs}=2.6444$.

The Ly α absorption line of the system at $\lambda=4923 \text{ \AA}$ (echelle order 116) is clearly saturated or a blend. We suggest that there are at least two components because it is slightly asymmetric. In Figure 5 we show the profiles of several metal lines in this absorption complex.

In Figure 1e we show the expected positions of the five strongest Fe II lines ($\lambda 2344, \lambda 2374, \lambda 2382, \lambda 2586$ and $\lambda 2600$) in the four absorption systems with $z_{abs} > 2.5$. Although we know that our wavelength scale is good (§3.4), we do not see any of these lines, which is reasonable for the weak line systems at $z_{abs}=2.6444$ and 2.8927 , but for the absorption complexes at $z_{abs} = 2.844$ and $z_{abs} = 3.050$ it is a surprise because we see Si II lines. Perhaps iron is underabundant.

4.2 Metal Abundance of the $z_{abs}=2.8443$

In Table 7 we give column densities and b values obtained by Voigt profile fits to the metal lines in this damped system.

4.2.1 H I Column Density

We can place four limits on the H I column density $N(\text{H I})$.

1. The Lyman continuum is completely absorbed to the blue of $\lambda \sim 3520 \text{ \AA}$ in Figure 1a, which indicates that the optical depth is $\tau_{LL} > 3.0$, and the neutral hydrogen column density is $N(\text{H I}) > 5 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-2}$.

2. The equivalent width ($W_{obs}=21.67 \text{ \AA}$) of the $\text{Ly}\alpha$ absorption line in the intermediate resolution spectrum corresponds to $\log N(\text{H I}) \sim 19.8 \text{ cm}^{-2}$ for a damped line.

3. A Voigt profile fit to the red wing of the line in the echelle spectrum gives $\log N(\text{H I}) \simeq 20.2 \pm 0.4 \text{ cm}^{-2}$. We included components for the three adjacent $\text{Ly}\alpha$ forest lines (lines 80, 81, 82 in figure 2) in this fit, and we allowed the absorption redshift, the H I column density and b to vary for each component.

4. In Figure 4 we show that $b_{\text{H}}=35 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and $\log N(\text{H I})=19.8$ give a good fit to H Lyman series lines 5 – 9. Most of the H Lyman series lines in this system may blend with $\text{Ly}\alpha$ lines of other systems, therefore the actual b_{H} may be less than the value of 35 km s^{-1} , which we list in Table 7.

In Figure 6 we show curve of growth with $\log N(\text{H I})=19.8 \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and $b=35, 41 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ respectively. The line equivalent widths are all from the intermediate resolution spectra, so the components of the system are not resolved. The *stars* represent the H Lyman series lines, from right to left, $\text{Ly}\alpha$, $\text{Ly}\beta$, $\text{Ly}\gamma$, $\text{Ly}\delta$ etc. The $\text{Ly}\gamma$ and $\text{Ly}\delta$ lines are obvious blended, while the higher order lines may also be blended. The best value of $b_{\text{H}} = 35 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ for the H Lyman series is consistent with that of $b = 41 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ obtained from the curve of growth fit to the metal lines.

We choose $\log N(\text{H I})=20.2 \text{ cm}^{-2}$ for the following abundance estimates, because the value of $N(\text{H I}) \simeq 19.8$ from the intermediate resolution spectra is less accurate: the DLA line does not have zero flux at its center, so the W_r is not reliable, and the fit to the Lyman series is not very sensitive to $N(\text{H I})$.

4.2.2 Column Densities of Metals

We saw in §4.1 that the $z_{abs}=2.844$ DLA complex has four components spanning 150 km s^{-1} . The lines of the low ionization ions O I, C II, Si II and Al II are concentrated in the component at $z_{abs}=2.8443$, which has an average $b = 10 \pm 1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, while the high ionization ions C IV and Si IV are found mostly in the three other components. The absorption redshift of the DLA line is consistent with the low ionization component. This kind of dual structure is typical of DLA systems (Turnshek et al. 1989, Lu et al. 1993, Wolfe et al. 1993) which usually have both quiescent and turbulent components. These papers report that the quiescent component contains most of the H I, and produces most

low ionization lines with a typical Doppler parameter $b \sim 15 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, while the turbulent component contains a small fraction of the H I, has complex velocity structure and has both high and low ionization absorption lines with a typical $b > 30 \text{ km s}^{-1}$.

We will assume that the velocity component at $z_{abs}=2.8443$ contains most of the H I, and we will estimate metal abundances for this component alone. In the top of Table 7 we list the metal lines identified in this velocity component. We cannot estimate abundances for the other components because we do not know how much neutral or ionized Hydrogen they contain.

We identify four Si II lines ($\lambda 1190$, $\lambda 1193$, $\lambda 1304$ and $\lambda 1526$), but the strongest Si II ($\lambda 1260$) line is blended with Ly α forest lines 107 and 108 in echelle order 118. The four Si II lines should give fairly accurate column densities because they have simple profiles, but they actually cover an order of magnitude. These differences are not significant, because all are within 1σ of the weighted mean and the χ^2_3 value of 4.11 would be exceeded 25% of the time. Therefore we use the weighted mean of $\log N(\text{Si II})=13.2\pm0.3$.

The only Si III line is $\lambda 1206$. We tried to fit it with four blended components, but the $b = 38 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ for the 2.8443 component is large compared with the mean $b = 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ for the other lines in this component. Perhaps the Si III is mostly in the more ionized gas which gave the value of $b=35 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ that we obtained from the curve of growth fit to the H Lyman series lines.

4.2.3 Curve of Growth Check of Column Densities

We have also used the intermediate resolution spectra to check the column densities determined from the echelle spectra. In the lower half of Table 7 we give results from curve of growth fits to all the metal lines redward of the Ly α emission line in this DLA system. These column densities apply to all four components of the system. The single Doppler parameter is $b = 41 \pm 5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, which is substantially larger than the $b = 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ of the $z_{abs}=2.8443$ component, but is much less than the 150 km s^{-1} covered by all four components. This is because the curve of growth is determined by the C IV and Si IV doublets which have FWHM $\sim 40 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ from the two strong component at $z_{abs} = 2.8434, 2.8438$. This b value is close to the $b_H = 35 \pm 5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ obtained from Voigt profile fits to the higher order H Lyman series lines 5–9 in the intermediate resolution spectra.

We find that the curve of growth column densities of O I, C II and Si II are all very close to the values which we obtained from the echelle data for the 2.8443 component. Jenkins (1986) showed that if there is one main component, which is not strongly saturated, which is the case here, then the curve of growth column density should be good. We show the curve of growth in Figure 6.

4.2.4 C II* and the Gas Density

In §4.2.5 we will use the photoionization code CLOUDY (Ferland 1991) to determine how the abundances of the ions depend on ionization parameter Γ . The hydrogen number

density n_{H} is needed to estimate these parameters. The gas density in the cloud can be derived from the ratio of $N(\text{C II}^*)/N(\text{C II})$ (Bahcall & Wolf 1968). The existence of fine-structure line $\text{C II}^*(\lambda 1335)$ in this DLA system indicates that either (1) the gas density is large enough for collisional excitation of the fine-structure level, or (2) the ambient ionizing flux populates the fine-structure state (Bahcall & Wolf 1968). The C II^* fine-structure level can be populated by other processes such as direct pumping by IR photons and recombination of C III (Morris et al. 1986), but since this system is a DLA system which has large H I column density, we consider only collisional excitation. Using the expression given by Morris et al. ,

$$\frac{N(\text{C II}^*)}{N(\text{C II})} = 3.9 \times 10^{-2} n_e [1 + (0.22 n_p / n_e)],$$

and for $n_e \approx n_p \approx n_{\text{H}}$ we get,

$$n_{\text{H}} = 21 \left(\frac{N(\text{C II}^*)}{N(\text{C II})} \right).$$

The total column densities of C II and C II^* give a hydrogen number density of $n_{\text{H}} \sim 3.8 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. We regard the column density of $N(\text{C II}^*)$ obtained from the echelle spectra as an upper limit because the line may be saturated or it may be blended with other components.

The C II^* line seen in the echelle data is very weak, and it is offset in velocity relative to O I , C II , Si II and Al II , so we wonder if it is real. The line is not visible in the intermediate resolution spectrum, with a 3σ limit of $W_r = 24 \text{ mÅ}$ which corresponds to $N(\text{C II}^*) = 1.3 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (assume it is on the linear part of curve of growth), but this is consistent with the detection in the echelle spectrum, and we are confident that the fine-structure line is real.

The line does not belong to any of the five other metal systems, and it is probably not $\text{C IV } \lambda 1548$ or $\text{Mg II } \lambda 2796$ because the doublet pairs are missing, but it could be $\text{C IV } \lambda 1550$ or $\text{Mg II } \lambda 2803$, because the doublet pair would then be in the inter-order gap.

The redshift of the C II^* line with respect to $\text{C II}(\lambda 1334)$ line is small, only about $\sim 15 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, but it is three pixels and it is real because both lines are in the same echelle order and we see no problems with the wavelength scale, which is linear.

In Figure 7 we show the C II and C II^* line profiles and the ratio

$$R(v) = \frac{N_{\text{CII}^*}(v) \Delta v}{N_{\text{CII}}(v) \Delta v},$$

as a function of velocity with respect to $z = 2.8443$, which is near the center of the C II line. Here $N(v)dv = N\phi(v)dv$ and $\int \phi(v)dv = 1$. The velocity shift indicates that the density or excitation temperature is higher at higher velocities. To calculate $R(v)$ and the temperature or density gradients as a function of velocity we should first subtract the thermal line broadening, but we prefer to wait for Keck data with much higher SNR. Here we simply note that if the range is $0.1 \leq R(v) \leq 0.4$, then the range of excitation temperature would be $32 \leq T_{\text{ex}} \leq 100 \text{ K}$, the density would be $2.1 \leq n_{\text{H}} \leq 8.4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, and the DLA clouds would be

6 – 25 pc thick, reasonable for a single cloud in a cold spiral galaxy disk. (The disk scale height is not the expected thickness, because the quasar light beam is too narrow.)

4.2.5 Level of Ionization

We used Ferland’s photoionization code CLOUDY to calculate the expected column density of different ions as a function of photoionization parameter $\Gamma \equiv n_\gamma/n_H$. We used the Bechtold et al. (1987) “medium” spectrum for the incident radiation field (also see Steidel 1990), and a grid search to find the parameters which match our observed column density ratios. The column densities of $N(\text{Si II})$, $N(\text{C II})$ and $N(\text{O I})$ do not change much for a wide range of Γ because they are tied to $N(\text{H I})$, which we know. Their ratios do not give a good estimate of Γ , although they do give good metal abundance estimates. But $N(\text{Al II})$, $N(\text{C IV})$ and $N(\text{Si IV})$ depend on the ionization strongly, and their column density ratios should give a good estimate of the ionization.

We will use nine column density ratios selected from ions C II, C IV, O I, Si II, Si IV and Al II to estimate the ionization parameter in the DLA system at $z_{abs} = 2.8443$. We note in Figure 3 that the C IV and Si IV lines at this velocity are not very significant, so we cannot fit Voigt profiles to get their column densities. Instead we measure 5 pixel ($2 \times \text{FWHM} + 1$) equivalent widths and estimate column densities of $\log N(\text{C IV}) \leq 13$ and $\log N(\text{Si IV}) \leq 12.6$, which we list in Table 7. These column densities are lower limits because we use the linear part of the curve of growth; but they would be an upper limits if most of the apparent absorption were noise.

We searched a grid region of $\log N(\text{H I}) = 20.2 \pm 0.4$ and $[\text{M}/\text{H}] = -2.6 \pm 0.3$ (from the next section) with a total hydrogen number density of $n_H = 2.45 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, the value which we estimated at $z_{abs} = 2.8443$ (see figure 7). We found a match to the column density ratios for $\log N(\text{H I}) = 20.2$, $[\text{M}/\text{H}] = -2.8$ and $\Gamma \simeq -2.75$ with a range of $(-3.1, -2.5)$. In Table 8 we list these nine observed column density ratios, and the ionization parameter needed to match to the observed ratios. We also list the expected column density ratios at $\Gamma = -2.75$. In Figure 8 we show the expected column densities for four elements – C, O, Si and Al – for $\log N(\text{H I}) = 20.2$ and $[\text{M}/\text{H}] = -2.8$. We also show our measurements of the column densities of O I, C II, Si II, Al II, C IV and Si IV. We see that at $\Gamma = -2.75$ C II is about ten times more abundant than C IV, and Si II is about 4.5 times as abundant as Si IV, in agreement with the observations. But we also see that the C II and O I are not the most abundant ions because C III (not covered by the echelle spectrum) is more abundant than C II and O III (not covered by either spectrum) is more abundant than O I.

4.2.6 The Metal Abundances in the DLA is Low

The column densities of absorption lines give gas phase abundances. In the top panel of Table 7 we see that the low ionization absorption lines dominate in this DLA system. If we assume that the $N(\text{H I})$ represents the total hydrogen column density, and that the column densities in Table 7 represent the total column densities of their elements, then we

obtain gas phase abundances for O, C, Si and Al of $[O/H] \simeq -2.8 \pm 0.6$, $[C/H] \simeq -2.7 \pm 0.6$, $[Si/H] \simeq -2.5 \pm 0.5$ and $[Al/H] \simeq -2.5 \pm 0.5$. Together they imply a gas phase metal abundance of $[M/H] \simeq -2.6 \pm 0.3$, which is low for a DLA system compared with results of Pettini et al. (1994). In the above estimation, the total column density of Carbon includes C II, C II* and C IV, and total column density of Silicon includes Si II and Si IV, but we consider only observed ions. If instead we use the results from the ionization model to include ions which are not seen (e.g. H II, C III and O III) we obtain $[O/H] \simeq -2.7$, $[C/H] \simeq -2.9$, $[Si/H] \simeq -2.7$ and $[Al/H] \simeq -2.8$, which are close to the abundances from observed ions alone, in part because the omission of unobserved metal ions was compensated by the omission of unobserved H II.

Is the abundance really this low? Perhaps we failed to resolve very low b velocity components, or perhaps the metals are depleted onto dust. Narrow components could not be seen in our data because the SNR is low and the line centers are black for C II $\lambda 1334$ and O I $\lambda 1302$ (Figure 3). The line center optical depth of these two lines are $\tau_0 = 2.12$ and 2.54 respectively (Table 7) – just sufficient that a significant amount of gas could be missed in unresolved narrow components.

But we do believe that very little gas is locking in dust grains, because the abundances ratios are all close to cosmic. In Table 9 we list abundance ratios for C, O, Si and Al, together with typical ratios for the ISM, where 99% of Cr is in dust grains. Our ratios are close to cosmic, and far from the depleted ISM values. Aluminum provides the best test because it depletes strongly like Cr in the ISM. We measure $[Al/C] = 0.23$, $[Al/O] = 0.29$ and $[Al/Si] = 0.00$, which are all consistent with no depletion. In the ISM $[Al/X] < -0.5$ (Spitzer 1978; Jenkins 1987). In this DLA system we do not see the enhancement of O with respect to C claimed by Vogel & Reimers (1993); we measure $[O/C] = -0.06$ for observed ions and $[O/C] = 0.2$ after ionization correction.

5. Ly α FOREST ABSORPTION SYSTEMS

In this section we will discuss the column density, velocity dispersion distribution, and $N - b$ correlation of the Ly α forest lines.

5.1 Number Density of Ly α Forest Lines

It is well established that the distribution of Ly α forest absorption lines is roughly proportional to $(1+z)^\gamma$, with γ between 2 and 3 for rest equivalent widths $W_r \geq 0.36 \text{ \AA}$ (Murdoch et al. 1986, Tytler 1987a, Bajtlik et al. 1988, Fan & Tytler 1989, Lu et al. 1991, Bechtold 1993). In our intermediate resolution spectra we find 25 Ly α absorption lines with $W_r \geq 0.36 \text{ \AA}$ between the Ly β and the Ly α emission lines, excluding the DLA line region $z_{abs} = 2.825 - 2.866$.

5.1.1 Proximity Effect

Lines which have $z_{abs} \simeq z_{em}$ should be more highly ionized on average, which is known as the proximity effect. The critical luminosity distance r_L to a QSO is defined by (see eqs. 4, 6, 7 of Bajtlik et al. 1988):

$$r_L = 2.58 \left(\frac{L_{30}}{J_{-21}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} h_{100}^{-1} \text{Mpc},$$

where L_{30} is L_ν – the intrinsic QSO luminosity at the Lyman limit in unit of 10^{30} (ergs s⁻¹ Hz⁻¹), and J_{-21} is J_ν – the background radiation flux at the Lyman Limit in unit of 10^{-21} (ergs cm⁻² s⁻¹ Hz⁻¹ sr⁻¹). This r_L is the distance at which the local ionizing flux from the QSO is at least 10% of that from the IGM flux, or $\log \omega \geq -1$ in terms of the notation of Bajtlik et al. Ly α forest lines which are closer than r_L to the QSO should be more highly ionized, and hence they should show less H I.

For HS 1946+7658 we estimated that the continuum flux at 912 Å of QSO rest frame ($\lambda_{obs} \sim 3695$ Å) is about 1.45×10^{-26} ergs cm⁻² s⁻¹ Hz⁻¹ by extrapolating the continuum from redward of the Ly α emission line, which is consistent with the general V versus $L_\nu(912)$ relationship of Tytler (1987a) for $V=15.85$ (Hagen et al. 1992) and $z_{em}=3.051$. The proximity effect is then expected to extend to about $20 h_{100}^{-1}$ Mpc.

We see six Ly α lines in the intermediate resolution spectrum within this $20 h_{100}^{-1}$ Mpc region, which is consistent with expectations from the previous cited data.

5.1.2 Ly α Line Density Away From the QSO

Beyond r_L we expect 34 lines and see only 19, which is a 2.6σ deficiency. These lines lie in a redshift interval of $\Delta z = 0.533$ (which excludes the DLA line region) from the range $z_{abs}=2.418 - 2.992$. The line density is $dN/dz=36 \pm 8$ at the mean absorption redshift of $\langle z \rangle = 2.676$. We obtained the expected number of Ly α lines from $\gamma = 2.75$ and $N_0 = 1.74$ of Lu et al. (1991), but $\gamma = 1.85$ and $N_0 = 5.4$ from Bechtold (1993) give a similar result. We note that the line density is particularly low in the vicinity of the DLA system, and we wonder if this might be a general trend.

In Figure 9 we plot the Ly α line ($W_r \geq 0.36$ Å) distribution, in co-evolving coordinate χ_γ , for $\gamma = 2.7$, for 16 QSOs which all have DLA systems. They are ranked by their emission redshifts which are from $z_{em} = 2.08$ to 4.11. The parts of the spectra which are obliterated by the DLA systems are indicated by the horizontal bars. The mean line density does appear to vary a lot on scales of $\chi_\gamma \simeq 5$, although previous studies have shown that there are no significant variations on scales larger than $5,000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (Carswell & Rees 1987; Ostriker, Bajtlik & Duncan 1988; Babul 1991; Webb & Barcons 1991). We see that the lack of lines in HS 1946+7658 is most pronounced at high redshifts, as if the proximity effect extends far farther than we had thought, but we do not see a lack of Ly α lines around other DLA systems. For now we consider that the lack of lines is a statistical fluctuation, but we suspect that there are real variations in the line density.

5.2 Doppler Parameters and Column Densities in The Ly α Forest

There are 118 Ly α absorption lines in our echelle spectra, five of which are associated with metal systems. (Here we include lines influenced by the proximity effect). Of the 113 Ly α lines which do not show metal lines, 17 are sufficiently saturated or blended that a single Voigt profile fit does not give accurate column densities or velocity dispersions, but the other 96 appear unsaturated, by which we mean the line flux does not go to zero.

In Figure 10 we show the distribution of b and $\log N(\text{H I})$ for the Ly α lines. The unsaturated lines are shown as *filled circles* if $\text{SNR} < 8$ ($\lambda < 4700 \text{ \AA}$) and *crosses* if $\text{SNR} > 8$ ($\lambda > 4700 \text{ \AA}$). The saturated/blended lines are shown as larger *open circles*, with an enclosed ‘+’ if $\text{SNR} > 8$. We also show curves of constant rest equivalent widths, $W_r = 0.40 \text{ \AA}$, $0.32 \text{ \AA}$, $0.20 \text{ \AA}$, $0.10 \text{ \AA}$ and $0.05 \text{ \AA}$. Note that only 9% of the lines have $W_r \geq 0.4 \text{ \AA}$ and that most have $0.1 \leq W_r \leq 0.32 \text{ \AA}$, so our lines are much weaker than those which comprise most intermediate resolution samples with $W_r \geq 0.36 \text{ \AA}$.

5.2.1 Proportion of low b lines

Ly α absorption lines with $b \leq 20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ are especially interesting because they come from clouds which are cool and have low internal motions. PHSM claimed that such lines were more common than had previously been believed. In Table 10 we summarize our data and other data with similar or better resolution from four other QSOs. We list the fraction of Ly α lines with $b \leq 20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ separately for $\log N(\text{H I})$ above and below 13.5.

Our mean b is in excellent agreement with the published values. When we include the saturated lines, and those in low SNR spectra, 43% of our lines with $\log N(\text{H I}) \leq 13.5$ have $b \leq 20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. This is intermediate between the values of 22%, 29%, 50% and 53% of the four other QSOs, and the large QSO-to-QSO variation is easily understood because $\log N(\text{H I}) = 13.5$ is near the detection limit for $b > 20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, so a slight increase in the SNR will yield many more lines at low N .

But at $\log N(\text{H I}) > 13.5$, 33% of our lines have $b < 20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, which is much higher than the values of 5%, 6%, 10% and 20% found in the four other QSOs. We believe this is because our SNR is very low. At $4700 \leq \lambda \leq 4950 \text{ \AA}$ where $8 \leq \text{SNR} \leq 16$ we have 43 Ly α lines, and only 14% of these 29 lines with $\log N(\text{H I}) > 13.5$ have $b \leq 20$, which is consistent with published values.

When $\text{SNR} \geq 8$, we believe that the b values from the Voigt profile fits are usually well determined, but only 2 out of 7 unsaturated lines with $b < 20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (numbers 91 & 103) are believed to have reliable b values. The other 5 may be underestimated because either they are blended with other lines or their line profiles are not well defined.

Our data do have sufficient SNR to find low b lines, but not to avoid bias in the measurements of b and $N(\text{H I})$ values. Lines with $\log N(\text{H I}) > 13.5$ and $10 < b < 20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ all have $W_r \geq 0.1 \text{ \AA}$. For Ly α lines the 1σ detection limit is

$$\sigma(W_r) \simeq \frac{1215.67 \times \text{FWHM}}{\lambda_{\text{obs}} \times \text{SNR}}.$$

To detect lines with a 4σ limit with $\text{FWHM}=0.2\text{ \AA}$ we need $\text{SNR} \geq 2.3$ to get $\sigma(W_r) \leq 0.025\text{ \AA}$ at $4300\text{ \AA}$. In all cases our spectra do have the SNR required to detect the listed $\text{Ly}\alpha$ lines at 4σ limit and most of these lines are also visible in the very high SNR intermediate resolution spectra. But neither of these conditions prevent the observed bias to low b values. Only much higher SNR can do that.

5.2.2 $N - b$ Correlation

For the unsaturated $\text{Ly}\alpha$ lines (*solid dots and crosses*) we see a correlation between b and $N(\text{H I})$ with a correlation coefficient of 0.466, which has a chance probability of 1.7×10^{-5} . This correlation is also seen in the unsaturated $\text{Ly}\alpha$ lines of Q2126–158 (Giallongo et al. 1993, their figure 4). But for all $\text{Ly}\alpha$ lines (*all points*) the correlation coefficient shrinks to only -0.02 , which is not significant because it is expected in 86.3% of uncorrelated data sets. The $N(\text{H I})$ and b values clearly remain strong correlated, but not in the simple linear way which is required to give a non-zero correlation coefficient: a zero correlation coefficient does not mean there is no correlation. The correlation coefficient vanishes because the saturated lines have low b for their large N , which is especially true for the four lines with the largest N in the low SNR data (the *large empty circles*). We believe that we have overestimated the column densities of these lines.

Rauch et al. (1993) used numerical simulation of the PHSM Q2206–199N spectrum to show that the apparent $N - b$ correlation is an artifact of the low SNR (see their figures 13, 14) which does not require an intrinsic correlation. In their analysis the $\text{Ly}\alpha$ lines tend to move to lower b and $N(\text{H I})$, or to larger b and $N(\text{H I})$.

In Figure 10, we can see that none of the unsaturated lines with $b < 15\text{ km s}^{-1}$ are from high SNR data, and all but one of the saturated lines with $b < 20\text{ km s}^{-1}$ are from low SNR data. For the 36 high SNR unsaturated lines (*crosses*) the $N - b$ correlation coefficient is 0.427, which has a chance probability of 9.3×10^{-3} , but if we remove the outlier at $b = 80\text{ km s}^{-1}$ (line No. 85 in order 121) the correlation coefficient drops to only 0.316 with a chance probability of 6.4%, and if we keep the outlier and also add the 7 saturated lines (*pluses*) from the high SNR data the correlation coefficient drops farther to 0.169, with a 17% chance probability for an uncorrelated data set.

We conclude that our spectra are not well suited to a study of the $N - b$ correlation. To study this, and the low b $\text{Ly}\alpha$ lines, we need higher resolution and much higher SNR.

6. SUMMARY

We have presented high ($\text{FWHM} \sim 10\text{ km s}^{-1}$) and intermediate ($\text{FWHM} \sim 1.9 - 5.3\text{ \AA}$) resolution spectra of QSO HS 1946+7658 from the Lick 3-m Shane telescope. Our spectra have amongst the best high resolution coverage of the metal lines to the red of the $\text{Ly}\alpha$ emission line.

We identified six metal and 113 Ly α absorption systems. The metal absorption complexes at $z_{abs}=2.844$ and 3.050 have multi-velocity structure with 4 and 3 sub-components respectively, which span a total velocity intervals of less than 200 km s $^{-1}$. The absorption component at $z_{abs} = 2.8443$ is a DLA system with H I column density $\log N(\text{H I})=20.2 \pm 0.4$ cm $^{-2}$, an average $b = 10 \pm 1$ km s $^{-1}$ and $[M/H] \simeq -2.8$. We used a photoionization model to obtain this abundance, since C III and O III are more abundant than C II and O I which we observed. The abundance ratios for C, O, Al and Si are all within a factor of two of solar, which suggests that there is not much depletion onto dust grains. We do not see an excess of O with respect to C, as was reported in a few absorption systems (Vogel & Reimers 1993).

In the DLA system, we find that the fine-structure C II*($\lambda 1335$) line is redshifted about 15 km s $^{-1}$ with respect to the C II($\lambda 1334$) line, which indicates that there is a density or excitation temperature gradient within the absorbing cloud. We estimate the total hydrogen density $2.1 < n_H < 8.4$ cm $^{-3}$ from which we estimate that the thickness of this damped Ly α clouds is about 6–25 pc, which is reasonable for a single cloud in a spiral galaxy disk.

Our Ly α forest line sample shows a considerable fraction of narrow lines with $b \leq 20$ km s $^{-1}$. But most of these narrow lines are artifacts of our low SNR data. For the high SNR data we see the same number of narrow lines as do others with similar data. We agree with Rauch et al. (1993) that much higher SNR data is needed.

We see a correlation between column density $N(\text{H I})$ and Doppler parameter b for our unsaturated Ly α forest absorption line sample. But with the high SNR Ly α forest line sample, this correlation is less impressive and we cannot tell if it is real or an artifact of the low SNR.

We wish to thank James Burrous, Wayne Earthman and Keith Baker at Lick Observatory for their helping during the observations. We thank Anthony Misch for advice on echelle spectrograph instrument. We thank G. Ferland for providing a copy of his CLOUDY photoionization code, and we are very grateful to A. M. Wolfe for many helpful discussions. We also thank Simon Morris for useful comments and careful reading the manuscript. This work was supported in part by NASA grant NAGW–2119.

TABLE 1
OBSERVATIONS

Date (UT)	Exposure (s)	Setup	λ range (Å)	Slit width	Å/pixel	FWHM ^a (Å)
1992 Apr 24	3600	A: 830/3880/b ^b	3241–4440	1.5''	1.1	2.5
1992 May 01	6000	A: 830/3880/b	3241–4440	1.5''	1.1	2.5
1992 May 29	4000	A: 830/3880/b	3241–4440	1.5''	1.1	2.5
1992 Oct 24	3600	A: 830/3880/b	3241–4440	1.5''	1.1	2.5
1992 Jun 28	7200	B: 831/8460/r ^c	4045–4963	1.5''	0.84	1.9
1992 Apr 24	3600	C: 1200/5000/r	4817–6176	1.5''	1.1	2.5
1992 May 01	6000	C: 1200/5000/r	4817–6176	1.5''	1.1	2.5
1992 Oct 24	3600	D: 600/7500/r	5990–8798	1.5''	2.3	5.3
1992 May 29	4000	E: 831/8460/r	8610–10570	1.5''	1.65	3.8
1992 Aug 05	17900	see Table 2	4220 ^d –5261 ^e	2.5''	0.08 ^f	0.14–0.18
1993 Jun 29	21600	see Table 2	4517–7251 ^e	2.5''	0.10 ^f	0.15–0.24

^a FWHM is typically 2.3 pixels for intermediate resolution spectra and 2 pixels for echelle spectra.

^b This means “the 830 g/mm grating, blazed at 3800 Å used in the Kast blue side”.

^c These are first order values. We used second order.

^d There is no useful data from 4220 Å down to the minimum wavelength of about 3800 Å.

^e There are gaps between all orders – see Table 2.

^f Per binned (2×2) pixels.

TABLE 2
WAVELENGTH COVERAGE OF ECHELLE SPECTRA

Order	λ_{start}	λ_{end}	SNR ^a	Order	λ_{start}	λ_{end}	SNR ^a	Order	λ_{start}	λ_{end}	SNR ^a
135	4220.0	4248.0	1	116	4905.8	4943.6	16	97	5866.6	5905.5	11
134	4251.6	4279.6	1	115	4948.5	4986.6	16	96	5927.7	5967.0	11
133	4283.6	4311.9	1	114	4990.0	5030.3	15	95	5990.1	6029.8	11
132	4316.0	4344.3	2	113	5036.0	5074.9	14	94	6053.8	6094.0	11
131	4348.8	4377.7	3	112	5081.0	5120.1	15	93	6118.8	6159.6	10
130	4382.3	4411.6	2	111	5126.8	5166.3	12	92	6185.4	6226.5	11
129	4416.2	4445.6	2	110	5173.4	5213.2	12	91	6253.3	6294.9	11
128	4450.8	4480.3	3	109	5220.8	5261.0	10	90	6322.8	6364.8	9
127	4485.8	4515.5	3	108	5269.1	5304.1	11	89	6394.1	6436.3	9
126	4516.5	4551.3	3	107	5318.4	5353.7	11	88	6466.7	6509.4	10
125	4552.7	4587.7	4	106	5368.5	5404.1	12	87	6540.3	6584.4	9
124	4589.4	4624.7	6	105	5419.7	5455.7	10	86	6616.8	6660.8	9
123	4626.7	4662.4	6	104	5471.8	5508.1	12	85	6694.8	6739.3	9
122	4664.6	4700.6	7	103	5524.9	5561.6	11	84	6774.7	6819.0	8
121	4703.2	4739.4	8	102	5579.0	5616.1	12	83	6855.9	6901.7	7
120	4742.4	4778.9	9	101	5634.3	5671.7	12	82	6939.6	6985.8	7
119	4782.2	4819.0	10	100	5690.6	5728.4	12	81	7025.3	7071.9	7
118	4822.7	4859.9	11	99	5748.1	5786.2	13	80	7113.1	7160.4	9
117	4863.9	4901.4	14	98	5806.9	5845.2	14	79	7203.0	7251.6	7

^a SNR near the center of the order, per binned pixel of 0.07 – 0.12 Å .

TABLE 3
EMISSION LINES

Ion	λ_{Lab}	λ_{obs}	W_{obs}	z_{em}
H I	1215.67	4946.6	84.6 ^a	3.069
N V	1240.13	5101.7	20.4	3.114
O I	1304.46	5292.7	5.5	3.057
Si IV+O VI]	1397.8 ^b	5652.3	20.0	3.044
C IV	1549.06	6243.4	43.6	3.030
He II+[O III]	1652.21	6746.5	11.8	3.083
C III]	1908.73	7749.5	110.2	3.060
$\langle z_{em} \rangle = 3.051$				

^a Measured from setup-C spectrum.

^b See Table 8 in Tytler & Fan (1992).

TABLE 4
ABSORPTION LINES

No.	λ_{obs}	$\sigma(\lambda)$	W_{obs}	$\sigma(W)$	SNR	ID	z_{abs}
setup-A (3241 Å – 4440 Å)							
1	3541.05	0.30	2.36	0.41	6.5	HI(920)	2.8449
2	3549.02	0.24	2.57	0.36	7.6	HI(923)	2.8445
3	3554.68	0.26	1.13	0.26	8.5		
4	3561.10	0.23	2.72	0.31	9.5	HI(926)	2.8447
5	3578.18	0.13	2.79	0.21	12.9	HI(930)	2.8444
						HI(919)	2.8927
6	3585.47	0.19	0.63	0.14	13.9	HI(920)	2.8931
7	3589.46	0.25	0.69	0.15	14.7		
8	3594.23	0.28	0.85	0.17	14.7	HI(923)	2.8934
9	3605.57	0.13	2.78	0.17	17.0	HI(937)	2.8447
						HI(926)	2.8928
10	3616.06	0.35	1.06	0.19	15.7		
11	3623.24	0.17	1.75	0.17	16.1	HI(930)	2.8928
12	3628.16	0.12	1.84	0.15	16.6		
13	3635.43	0.15	1.03	0.14	16.0		
14	3639.63	0.10	2.14	0.15	16.6	CI(1329)	1.7390
15	3652.16	0.10	4.65	0.24	12.3	HI(949)	2.8454
						HI(937)	2.8944
16	3658.44	0.19	2.52	0.21	15.2		
17	3673.64	0.15	2.41	0.16	19.5		
18	3681.45	0.18	1.30	0.13	20.2		
19	3689.50	0.13	2.61	0.15	21.2		
20	3697.49	0.08	1.99	0.12	21.2	HI(949)	2.8931
21	3701.25	0.13	2.21	0.14	20.8		
22	3709.40	0.21	0.81	0.12	20.6		
23	3727.01	0.19	2.34	0.15	22.7		
24	3738.26	0.07	5.02	0.13	25.3	HI(972)	2.8438
						HI(923)	3.0495
25	3751.31	0.08	2.35	0.10	26.5	HI(926)	3.0501
						NiII(1370)	1.7379
26	3755.88	0.05	2.63	0.09	27.7	CIII(977)	2.8442
27	3762.85	0.09	2.74	0.11	27.8		
28	3769.66	0.06	1.55	0.08	28.9	HI(930)	3.0501
29	3778.34	0.09	1.77	0.09	28.8		
30	3785.92	0.07	2.66	0.10	30.5	HI(972)	2.8926
31	3798.23	0.06	2.51	0.09	31.8	HI(937)	3.0501

TABLE 4–*continued*

No.	λ_{obs}	$\sigma(\lambda)$	W_{obs}	$\sigma(W)$	SNR	ID	z_{abs}
32	3803.05	0.08	1.53	0.08	30.2	CIII(977)	2.8932
33	3808.72	0.26	0.48	0.08	29.1		
34	3817.34	0.10	4.98	0.13	31.4	SiIV(1393)	1.7389
35	3834.02	0.12	1.03	0.08	29.4		
36	3840.36	0.21	0.75	0.09	30.0	SiIV(1402)	1.7377
37	3845.93	0.07	2.18	0.08	31.7	HI(949)	3.0494
38	3856.82	0.08	1.82	0.08	32.8		
39	3863.01	0.04	3.57	0.07	35.8		
40	3874.59	0.07	3.11	0.09	34.3		
41	3897.53	0.07	1.53	0.07	34.5		
42	3905.93	0.07	2.13	0.08	32.8		
43	3912.42	0.09	0.99	0.07	29.7		
44	3925.22	0.09	3.66	0.10	36.4		
45	3933.80	0.04	3.02	0.07	39.4		
46	3938.03	0.02	2.77	0.05	42.9	HI(972)	3.0492
47	3943.33	0.03	4.76	0.07	40.2	HI(1025)	2.8444
48	3956.43	0.06	2.13	0.07	37.9	CIII(977)	3.0495
49	3967.52	0.08	3.22	0.09	40.1	OVI(1031)	2.8448
50	3984.19	0.08	1.23	0.07	37.8	CH(1036)	2.8445
51	3989.00	0.03	2.09	0.05	41.5	OVI(1037)	2.8444
52	3992.78	0.03	2.87	0.06	42.5	HI(1025)	2.8927
53	4002.90	0.15	0.30	0.05	36.4	OI(988)	3.0491
54	4008.85	0.16	1.02	0.08	37.0	SiII(989)	3.0499
						NIII(989)	3.0502
55	4017.29	0.12	1.30	0.08	36.8	OVI(1031)	2.8930
56	4030.70	0.08	2.71	0.09	38.0		
57	4040.90	0.20	0.48	0.07	36.5		
58	4045.84	0.25	0.42	0.09	26.4		
59	4050.24	0.17	1.00	0.10	29.2		
60	4072.49	0.17	0.94	0.08	38.1		
61	4090.06	0.09	3.09	0.09	40.3		
62	4104.55	0.44	0.42	0.08	39.2		
63	4111.17	0.22	0.55	0.07	39.5		
64	4118.08	0.13	0.86	0.07	40.2		
65	4127.98	0.07	3.22	0.08	41.9		
66	4155.34	0.07	4.40	0.09	42.5	HI(1025)	3.0511
67	4168.46	0.27	0.56	0.08	38.2	NII(1083)	2.8455
68	4179.05	0.07	3.36	0.08	42.6	OVI(1031)	3.0498
						SiII(1526)	1.7373

TABLE 4–*continued*

No.	λ_{obs}	$\sigma(\lambda)$	W_{obs}	$\sigma(W)$	SNR	ID	z_{abs}
69	4195.08	0.10	1.78	0.07	42.2	OVI(1037)	3.0492
70	4201.53	0.08	2.35	0.08	43.3		
71	4213.55	0.08	3.32	0.08	42.9		
72	4234.65	0.26	1.40	0.10	40.9	CIV(1550)	1.7385
73	4246.77	0.25	0.73	0.08	39.6		
74	4254.32	0.14	2.28	0.09	41.6		
75	4275.10	0.14	2.56	0.09	41.9		
76	4294.83	0.14	1.69	0.08	42.0		
77	4300.33	0.09	5.32	0.10	44.1		
78	4333.87	0.13	2.80	0.10	41.0	FeII(1608)	1.7384
79	4346.99	0.15	1.30	0.08	36.8		
80	4357.22	0.15	2.32	0.13	28.0		
81	4396.12	0.08	2.00	0.08	37.7		
82	4404.79	0.15	2.55	0.11	35.8		
setup-B (4045 Å – 4963 Å)							
1	4059.13	0.20	0.27	0.05	37.7	HI(1025)	3.0496
2	4065.45	0.17	0.37	0.04	44.4		
3	4073.39	0.12	1.11	0.05	50.7		
4	4090.52	0.08	2.57	0.05	57.1		
5	4105.70	0.36	0.22	0.04	57.5		
6	4111.74	0.10	0.35	0.03	58.7		
7	4118.46	0.08	0.78	0.03	59.5	NII(1083)	2.8444
8	4127.85	0.07	2.82	0.05	61.1		
9	4153.79	0.04	2.41	0.04	63.4		
10	4158.06	0.05	1.79	0.04	62.0	OVI(1031)	3.0493
11	4167.32	0.15	0.34	0.03	54.6		
12	4178.59	0.06	2.97	0.05	59.5		
13	4194.82	0.05	1.18	0.04	57.2	OVI(1037)	3.0497
14	4201.99	0.06	1.67	0.04	58.3		
15	4209.00	0.07	0.75	0.03	54.8		
16	4213.65	0.06	2.62	0.05	56.5	CIV(1548)	1.7385
17	4234.56	0.16	0.80	0.05	53.0		
18	4239.77	0.16	0.32	0.04	52.2		
19	4247.31	0.20	0.46	0.05	49.4	CIV(1550)	1.7388
20	4254.55	0.06	1.70	0.05	53.4		
21	4261.62	0.11	0.48	0.04	51.9		
22	4275.37	0.07	1.28	0.04	52.5		

TABLE 4–*continued*

No.	λ_{obs}	$\sigma(\lambda)$	W_{obs}	$\sigma(W)$	SNR	ID	z_{abs}
23	4292.55	0.09	0.82	0.04	50.9		
24	4299.97	0.05	4.13	0.05	54.7		
25	4306.79	0.04	0.98	0.03	51.5		
26	4309.77	0.10	0.73	0.04	48.8		
27	4321.94	0.18	0.24	0.04	45.7		
28	4329.75	0.09	0.78	0.04	49.2		
29	4334.78	0.05	1.62	0.04	51.6		
30	4347.18	0.12	1.60	0.06	45.8		
31	4355.53	0.05	1.91	0.05	42.9		
32	4381.59	0.13	0.46	0.04	45.3		
33	4390.95	0.09	0.84	0.04	46.4	NII(1083)	3.0507
34	4396.12	0.05	2.01	0.05	47.7		
35	4404.03	0.09	1.61	0.06	44.3	FeII(1608)	1.7381
36	4430.05	0.03	3.43	0.05	45.9		
37	4434.73	0.03	2.41	0.05	44.0		
38	4441.98	0.08	3.49	0.07	42.0		
39	4449.87	0.10	0.64	0.05	41.2		
40	4460.46	0.09	0.72	0.04	42.5		
41	4463.73	0.09	0.51	0.04	42.3		
42	4468.90	0.14	0.31	0.04	41.0		
43	4476.81	0.15	0.60	0.04	41.0		
44	4486.93	0.06	2.11	0.06	43.2		
45	4499.67	0.11	1.05	0.05	41.1		
46	4505.76	0.07	0.68	0.04	41.4		
47	4509.92	0.18	0.62	0.06	40.8		
48	4521.24	0.15	0.44	0.05	40.6		
49	4531.26	0.10	0.65	0.05	40.8		
50	4536.75	0.05	0.36	0.03	41.2	CI(1656)	1.7380
51	4543.98	0.14	2.84	0.08	40.3		
52	4573.57	0.10	3.93	0.08	41.9	SiII(1190)	2.8420
						AlII(1670)	1.7376
53	4587.31	0.07	1.33	0.05	41.6	SiII(1193)	2.8442
54	4592.02	0.04	2.90	0.06	44.0		
55	4601.21	0.29	0.24	0.04	39.8		
56	4608.49	0.11	0.29	0.04	40.2		
57	4619.64	0.07	0.43	0.04	41.1		
58	4625.69	0.18	0.54	0.04	39.2		
59	4632.57	0.10	0.48	0.04	39.1		

TABLE 4–*continued*

No.	λ_{obs}	$\sigma(\lambda)$	W_{obs}	$\sigma(W)$	SNR	ID	z_{abs}
60	4637.89	0.03	2.52	0.05	43.0	SiIII(1206)	2.8441
61	4641.27	0.03	1.47	0.04	41.4		
62	4646.87	0.12	0.61	0.05	37.6		
63	4654.25	0.07	1.80	0.06	38.3		
64	4673.85	0.11	21.67	0.16	40.7	HI(1215)	2.8447
65	4692.04	0.05	1.52	0.05	43.9		
66	4696.56	0.08	0.55	0.04	43.5	SiIII(1206)	2.8927
67	4706.89	0.09	0.83	0.04	46.9		
68	4714.05	0.30	0.35	0.04	47.7		
69	4721.58	0.04	2.07	0.04	54.8		
70	4731.84	0.04	2.87	0.05	56.5	HI(1215)	2.8924
71	4743.30	0.21	0.42	0.04	50.5		
72	4749.12	0.10	0.36	0.03	53.8		
73	4752.57	0.07	0.97	0.04	53.8		
74	4761.17	0.03	3.65	0.04	63.4		
75	4765.97	0.10	0.64	0.04	58.9		
76	4774.07	0.05	1.19	0.03	62.0		
77	4779.54	0.07	0.65	0.03	60.2		
78	4793.87	0.05	1.19	0.03	66.2		
79	4799.32	0.17	0.33	0.03	64.4		
80	4810.63	0.16	0.26	0.03	65.6		
81	4818.61	0.07	0.44	0.03	67.1		
82	4823.92	0.08	0.37	0.03	66.6		
83	4831.30	0.13	0.32	0.03	66.4		
84	4835.64	0.04	0.64	0.02	67.9		
85	4843.69	0.03	3.53	0.04	76.5	SiII(1260)	2.8429
86	4853.54	0.03	1.83	0.03	75.9		
87	4858.16	0.05	0.52	0.02	73.2		
88	4863.53	0.10	0.63	0.03	71.2		
89	4872.32	0.03	2.39	0.03	80.1		
90	4880.93	0.04	1.10	0.03	78.3		
91	4885.70	0.02	1.82	0.02	88.2	SiIII(1206)	3.0495
92	4889.39	0.03	1.70	0.02	85.3		
93	4896.90	0.02	3.59	0.03	92.2		
94	4904.38	0.04	1.68	0.03	84.9		
95	4909.49	0.02	1.51	0.02	89.3		
96	4918.81	0.04	0.80	0.02	82.1		
97	4922.40	0.03	3.75	0.03	98.5	HI(1215)	3.0491

TABLE 4–*continued*

No.	λ_{obs}	$\sigma(\lambda)$	W_{obs}	$\sigma(W)$	SNR	ID	z_{abs}
setup-C (4817 Å – 6718 Å)							
1	5006.45	0.26	0.31	0.03	82.0	OI(1302)	2.8447
2	5017.29	0.26	0.49	0.04	83.7	NV(1238)	3.0501
3	5033.63	0.50	0.16	0.03	81.9	NV(1242)	3.0502
4	5078.85	0.32	0.15	0.03	80.8	AlIII(1854)	1.7383
5	5104.76	0.24	0.47	0.04	81.8	SiII(1260)	3.0500
6	5131.92	0.14	0.58	0.03	83.0	CH(1334)	2.8455
7	5357.90	0.05	1.42	0.03	75.5	SiIV(1393)	2.8442
8	5392.48	0.10	0.98	0.04	77.7	SiIV(1402)	2.8442
9	5404.82	0.20	0.50	0.04	78.4	CH(1334)	3.0500
10	5630.94	0.54	0.14	0.03	75.0	CrII(2056)	1.7384
11	5644.59	0.05	2.70	0.04	80.4	SiIV(1393)	3.0499
12	5651.62	0.27	0.13	0.03	72.5	CIV(1550)	2.6444
13	5657.44	0.19	0.17	0.03	70.7	CrII(2066)	1.7381
14	5680.98	0.07	1.96	0.06	51.9	SiIV(1402)	3.0498
15	5869.54	0.33	0.37	0.06	43.9	SiII(1526)	2.8446
16	5925.46	0.19	0.50	0.05	45.2	MgII(2796)	1.1190
17	5940.83	0.22	0.45	0.06	43.3	MgII(2803)	1.1191
18	5951.30	0.13	1.85	0.08	43.8	CIV(1548)	2.8440
19	5961.05	0.08	1.13	0.05	45.3	CIV(1550)	2.8439
20	6026.62	0.24	0.35	0.05	50.9	CIV(1548)	2.8927
21	6037.24	0.38	0.24	0.05	51.9	CIV(1550)	2.8930
setup-D (5990 Å – 8798 Å)							
1	6026.96	0.22	0.29	0.06	84.6	CIV(1548)	2.8929
2	6036.18	0.29	0.23	0.05	85.8	CIV(1550)	2.8924
3	6270.26	0.03	4.35	0.06	113.4	CIV(1548)	3.0500
4	6280.60	0.02	3.88	0.05	119.6	CIV(1550)	3.0500
5	6420.75	0.16	1.28	0.07	105.8	FeII(2344)	1.7390
6	6502.14	0.16	0.22	0.04	94.9	FeII(2374)	1.7384
7	6524.55	0.14	0.85	0.06	104.0	FeII(2382)	1.7382
8	7083.24	0.13	0.56	0.05	104.0	FeII(2586)	1.7384
9	7119.83	0.08	0.65	0.04	108.7	FeII(2600)	1.7382
10	7290.39	0.13	1.09	0.07	87.3		
11	7303.33	0.20	0.83	0.07	93.0		
12	7341.28	0.22	1.04	0.09	83.5		
13	7655.59	0.09	0.97	0.06	85.4	MgII(2796)	1.7377
14	7676.63	0.09	1.39	0.06	97.9	MgII(2803)	1.7382

TABLE 5
RESULTS FROM VOIGT PROFILE FITS

No.	Cross-ID	Line ID.	$\lambda_{\text{vac}}^{\text{a}}$	z_{abs}	$\log N \text{ (cm}^{-2}\text{)}$	$b \text{ (km s}^{-1}\text{)}$
1	B-20	Ly α	4255.45	2.5005	17.16 $\pm$ 3.00	10
2		Ly α	4267.85	2.5107	13.58 $\pm$ 0.66	32
3		Ly α	4269.55	2.5121	13.47 $\pm$ 0.60	25
4	B-22	Ly α	4275.15	2.5167	13.58 $\pm$ 0.65	14
5		Ly α	4290.71	2.5295	13.91 $\pm$ 1.04	15
6	B-23	Ly α	4292.77	2.5312	16.33 $\pm$ 1.60	18
7		Ly α	4294.60	2.5327	13.30 $\pm$ 0.92	11
8		Ly α	4296.54	2.5343	13.66 $\pm$ 0.88	21
9	B-24	Ly α	4298.37	2.5358	13.79 $\pm$ 0.67	11
10	B-24	Ly α	4299.09	2.5364	13.78 $\pm$ 0.51	17
11	B-24	Ly α	4300.19	2.5373	13.74 $\pm$ 0.56	14
12	B-24	Ly α	4300.92	2.5379	13.73 $\pm$ 0.49	19
13		Ly α	4303.72	2.5402	13.68 $\pm$ 0.59	26
14	B-25	Ly α	4307.00	2.5429	13.71 $\pm$ 0.77	17
15	B-28	Ly α	4329.85	2.5617	13.85 $\pm$ 0.51	33
16	B-29	Ly α	4334.11	2.5652	16.09 $\pm$ 1.88	13
17		Ly α	4335.20	2.5661	14.06 $\pm$ 0.76	37
18		Ly α	4374.47	2.5984	13.92 $\pm$ 1.50	13
19	B-36	Ly α	4396.11	2.6162	14.10 $\pm$ 0.56	44
20	B-36	Ly α	4397.08	2.6170	13.76 $\pm$ 1.12	19
		Si III(1206)	4397.09	2.6445	13.16 $\pm$ 0.89	19
21	B-37	Ly α	4403.76	2.6225	14.02 $\pm$ 0.53	44
		Fe II(1608)	4403.78	1.7379	14.73 $\pm$ 0.51	45
22		Ly α	4421.03	2.6367	13.97 $\pm$ 0.78	51
23		Ly α	4422.24	2.6377	16.92 $\pm$ 3.77	11
24		Ly α	4424.67	2.6397	13.56 $\pm$ 0.39	18
25		Ly α	4425.28	2.6402	13.52 $\pm$ 0.47	12
26	B-36	Ly α	4428.56	2.6429	14.76 $\pm$ 0.73	37
27	B-36	Ly α	4430.14	2.6442	17.37 $\pm$ 0.56	7
28	B-36	Ly α	4431.24	2.6451	13.94 $\pm$ 0.43	39
29	B-37	Ly α	4434.28	2.6476	14.30 $\pm$ 1.06	36
30	B-37	Ly α	4435.74	2.6488	14.75 $\pm$ 0.66	18
31		Ly α	4439.14	2.6516	13.51 $\pm$ 0.40	14
32	B-38	Ly α	4441.45	2.6535	14.79 $\pm$ 1.04	14
33	B-38	Ly α	4442.67	2.6545	14.48 $\pm$ 0.77	14
34		Ly α	4455.43	2.6650	13.76 $\pm$ 0.60	46
35	B-40	Ly α	4460.05	2.6688	14.01 $\pm$ 0.77	22

TABLE 5 — *continued*

No.	Cross-ID	Line ID.	$\lambda_{\text{vac}}^{\text{a}}$	z_{abs}	$\log N \text{ (cm}^{-2}\text{)}$	$b \text{ (km s}^{-1}\text{)}$
36	B-41	Ly α	4464.18	2.6722	13.64 $\pm$ 0.45	18
37	B-43	Ly α	4476.10	2.6820	13.41 $\pm$ 0.69	27
38	B-43	Ly α	4477.31	2.6830	13.74 $\pm$ 0.58	28
39	B-45	Ly α	4499.68	2.7014	13.51 $\pm$ 0.55	22
40	B-45	Ly α	4500.53	2.7021	14.05 $\pm$ 1.05	10
41	B-46	Ly α	4505.64	2.7063	13.96 $\pm$ 0.38	51
42	B-46	Ly α	4506.00	2.7066	14.44 $\pm$ 2.90	11
43	B-47	Ly α	4509.65	2.7096	13.49 $\pm$ 0.55	27
44	B-47	Ly α	4510.62	2.7104	13.61 $\pm$ 0.46	25
45		Ly α	4513.18	2.7125	13.51 $\pm$ 0.63	25
46		Ly α	4528.37	2.7250	13.61 $\pm$ 1.13	17
47	B-49	Ly α	4531.05	2.7272	13.64 $\pm$ 0.51	32
48	B-49	Ly α	4531.90	2.7279	13.65 $\pm$ 0.67	25
49	B-50	Ly α	4537.25	2.7323	13.68 $\pm$ 0.50	58
		C I(1656)	4537.33	1.7384	14.26 $\pm$ 0.46	58
50		Ly α	4541.01	2.7354	13.63 $\pm$ 0.47	53
51	B-51	Ly α	4544.05	2.7379	14.18 $\pm$ 0.30	64
52	B-52	Ly α	4570.68	2.7598	13.78 $\pm$ 0.53	30
53	B-52	Ly α	4572.50	2.7613	14.05 $\pm$ 0.60	29
54	B-52	Al II(1670)	4574.78	1.7381	13.35 $\pm$ 0.59	33
55	B-52	Si II(1190)	4576.32	2.8443	13.60 $\pm$ 0.60	10
56	B-52	Ly α	4577.97	2.7658	13.55 $\pm$ 0.56	34
57	B-53	Si II(1193)	4587.13	2.8441	13.28 $\pm$ 0.45	12
58	B-54	Ly α	4592.07	2.7774	14.21 $\pm$ 0.94	39
59	B-54	Ly α	4593.41	2.7785	13.80 $\pm$ 1.00	27
		Si II(1260)	3593.36	2.6443	13.43 $\pm$ 0.92	27
60	B-55	Ly α	4601.80	2.7854	13.54 $\pm$ 0.61	27
61	B-55	Ly α	4602.89	2.7863	13.03 $\pm$ 1.01	17
62	B-56	Ly α	4608.60	2.7910	13.46 $\pm$ 0.45	33
63	B-57	Ly α	4619.55	2.8000	13.37 $\pm$ 0.55	17
64	B-57	Ly α	4620.28	2.8006	13.65 $\pm$ 0.34	26
65		Ly α	4622.22	2.8022	12.93 $\pm$ 0.47	15
66	B-59	Ly α	4633.28	2.8113	13.59 $\pm$ 0.36	26
67	B-60	Si III(1206)	4636.94	2.8433	13.34 $\pm$ 0.58	42
68	B-60	Si III(1206)	4637.42	2.8437	12.98 $\pm$ 1.60	40
69	B-60	Si III(1206)	4638.27	2.8444	13.20 $\pm$ 0.76	38
70	B-60	Si III(1206)	4639.23	2.8452	13.58 $\pm$ 0.74	26
71	B-61	Ly α	4641.55	2.8181	14.36 $\pm$ 0.38	45

TABLE 5 — *continued*

No.	Cross-ID	Line ID.	$\lambda_{\text{vac}}^{\text{a}}$	z_{abs}	$\log N \text{ (cm}^{-2}\text{)}$	$b \text{ (km s}^{-1}\text{)}$
72	B-62	Ly α	4647.26	2.8228	13.63 $\pm$ 0.59	33
73	B-62	Ly α	4648.48	2.8238	13.11 $\pm$ 0.27	18
74		Ly α	4650.79	2.8257	12.75 $\pm$ 1.11	13
75	B-63	Ly α	4654.44	2.8287	13.88 $\pm$ 0.56	26
76	B-63	Ly α	4654.92	2.8291	13.17 $\pm$ 0.24	11
77		Ly α	4655.89	2.8299	13.53 $\pm$ 0.32	16
78		Ly α	4657.84	2.8315	13.39 $\pm$ 0.53	31
79	B-64	Ly α	4673.40	2.8443	20.23 $\pm$ 0.41	
80	B-65	Ly α	4692.37	2.8599	13.70 $\pm$ 3.61	29
81	B-65	Ly α	4693.82	2.8611	13.03 $\pm$ 4.34	18
82	B-66	Ly α	4697.11	2.8638	13.27 $\pm$ 4.19	9
83	B-67	Ly α	4707.56	2.8724	13.48 $\pm$ 0.74	49
84	B-68	Ly α	4714.49	2.8781	13.59 $\pm$ 0.53	55
85	B-69	Ly α	4721.91	2.8842	14.29 $\pm$ 0.34	80
86		Ly α	4727.01	2.8884	13.37 $\pm$ 0.46	35
87	B-70	Ly α	4731.14	2.8918	13.71 $\pm$ 0.37	17
88	B-70	Ly α	4732.00	2.8925	14.10 $\pm$ 0.35	30
89	B-70	Ly α	4733.09	2.8934	14.98 $\pm$ 0.50	25
90	B-71	Ly α	4743.78	2.9022	13.56 $\pm$ 0.41	56
91	B-72	Ly α	4749.62	2.9070	13.68 $\pm$ 0.45	20
92	B-73	Ly α	4753.15	2.9099	13.92 $\pm$ 0.34	27
93	B-74	Ly α	4758.74	2.9145	13.58 $\pm$ 0.44	38
94	B-74	Ly α	4759.71	2.9153	13.66 $\pm$ 0.48	23
95	B-74	Ly α	4761.29	2.9166	14.40 $\pm$ 0.46	36
96	B-74	Ly α	4762.39	2.9175	14.16 $\pm$ 0.50	10
97	B-74	Ly α	4762.75	2.9178	13.94 $\pm$ 0.43	28
98	B-75	Ly α	4766.89	2.9212	13.67 $\pm$ 0.38	43
99	B-76	Ly α	4774.42	2.9274	14.29 $\pm$ 0.37	36
100	B-78	Ly α	4793.75	2.9433	13.43 $\pm$ 0.59	32
101	B-78	Ly α	4794.60	2.9440	13.98 $\pm$ 0.42	31
102	B-82	Ly α	4824.63	2.9687	13.33 $\pm$ 0.41	23
103	B-83	Ly α	4831.32	2.9742	13.01 $\pm$ 0.34	16
104	B-84	Ly α	4836.06	2.9781	13.78 $\pm$ 0.29	22
105	B-85	Ly α	4842.74	2.9836	13.80 $\pm$ 0.18	28
106	B-85	Ly α	4843.96	2.9846	13.93 $\pm$ 0.27	18
107	B-85	Ly α	4844.93	2.9854	14.37 $\pm$ 0.57	32
		Si II(1260)	4844.94	2.8439	13.93 $\pm$ 0.31	39

TABLE 5 — *continued*

No.	Cross-ID	Line ID.	$\lambda_{\text{vac}}^{\text{a}}$	z_{abs}	$\log N \text{ (cm}^{-2}\text{)}$	$b \text{ (km s}^{-1}\text{)}$
108	B-85	Ly α	4846.88	2.9870	13.75 $\pm$ 0.56	38
		Si II(1260)	4846.95	2.8455	13.37 $\pm$ 0.45	39
109	B-85	Ly α	4847.73	2.9877	12.62 $\pm$ 3.81	17
110	B-85	Ly α	4850.16	2.9897	13.40 $\pm$ 0.32	29
111	B-86	Ly α	4853.81	2.9927	14.09 $\pm$ 0.24	38
112	B-87	Ly α	4858.30	2.9964	13.53 $\pm$ 0.26	30
113		Ly α	4865.35	3.0022	13.35 $\pm$ 0.42	29
114	B-89	Ly α	4871.55	3.0073	13.85 $\pm$ 0.52	31
115	B-89	Ly α	4873.62	3.0090	14.55 $\pm$ 0.68	30
116	B-90	Ly α	4880.55	3.0147	13.22 $\pm$ 0.16	17
117	B-90	Ly α	4881.94	3.0151	13.53 $\pm$ 0.19	16
118	B-90	Ly α	4882.01	3.0159	13.44 $\pm$ 0.31	29
119	B-91	Si III(1206)	4885.36	3.0492	13.13 $\pm$ 0.45	22
120	B-91	Ly α	4886.26	3.0194	14.19 $\pm$ 0.52	36
121	B-91	SI III(1206)	4886.81	3.0504	12.88 $\pm$ 0.77	18
122	B-92	Ly α	4889.42	3.0220	14.35 $\pm$ 0.27	26
123	B-92	Ly α	4890.40	3.0228	13.38 $\pm$ 0.23	23
124	B-93	Ly α	4895.38	3.0269	13.99 $\pm$ 0.69	38
125	B-93	Ly α	4896.72	3.0280	14.93 $\pm$ 0.60	28
126	B-93	Ly α	4898.91	3.0298	14.45 $\pm$ 0.36	23
127	B-95	Ly α	4909.48	3.0385	14.17 $\pm$ 0.39	41
128	B-96	Ly α	4917.63	3.0452	13.41 $\pm$ 0.39	33
129	B-96	Ly α	4919.21	3.0465	13.43 $\pm$ 0.39	34
130	B-97	Ly α	4921.76	3.0486	13.98 $\pm$ 0.63	43
131	B-97	Ly α	4922.61	3.0493	17.64 $\pm$ 1.67	22
132	B-97	Ly α	4924.07	3.0505	14.09 $\pm$ 0.54	29
133		Ly α	4927.23	3.0531	13.12 $\pm$ 0.27	24
134	C-1	O I(1302)	5005.93	2.8443	14.38 $\pm$ 0.48	9
135		Si II(1304)	5014.39	2.8443	13.10 $\pm$ 0.57	9
136	C-2	N V(1238)	5016.85	3.0497	13.32 $\pm$ 0.60	14
137	C-2	N V(1238)	5017.60	3.0503	12.90 $\pm$ 1.21	12
138	C-5	Si II(1260)	5104.08	3.0495	12.58 $\pm$ 0.61	14
139	C-5	Si II(1260)	5105.21	3.0504	12.57 $\pm$ 0.59	11
140	C-6	C II(1334)	5129.14	2.8434	13.34 $\pm$ 0.43	7
141	C-6	C II(1334)	5130.34	2.8443	13.96 $\pm$ 0.47	11
142		C II*(1335)	5135.13	2.8445	13.22 $\pm$ 0.68	11

TABLE 5 — *continued*

No.	Cross-ID	Line ID.	$\lambda_{\text{vac}}^{\text{a}}$	z_{abs}	$\log N \text{ (cm}^{-2}\text{)}$	$b \text{ (km s}^{-1}\text{)}$
143	C–8	Si IV(1402)	5391.41	2.8434	13.39±0.47	14
144	C–8	Si IV(1402)	5392.11	2.8439	12.94±0.96	15
145	C–8	Si IV(1402)	5294.07	2.8453	13.03±0.73	14
146	C–11	Si IV(1393)	5642.34	3.0482	13.00±0.35	13
	C–11	C IV(1548)	5642.27	2.6444	13.39±0.29	13
147	C–11	Si IV(1393)	5644.15	3.0496	13.97±0.28	38
148	C–11	Si IV(1393)	5645.56	3.0504	13.60±0.34	20
149	C–11	C IV(1550)	5651.64	2.6444	13.49±0.34	13
150	C–15	Si II(1526)	5867.82	2.8434	12.40±0.78	6
151	C–15	Si II(1526)	5869.12	2.8443	12.76±0.67	10
152	C–17	Mg II(2803)	5940.82	1.1191	12.82±0.33	11
153	C–18	C IV(1548)	5950.36	2.8434	13.73±0.01	17
154	C–18	C IV(1548)	5950.98	2.8438	13.58±0.05	15
155	C–18	C IV(1548)	5953.15	2.8452	13.55±0.21	23
156	C–19	C IV(1550)	5960.24	2.8434	14.02±0.23	24
157	C–19	C IV(1550)	5960.86	2.8438	13.46±0.48	9
158	C–19	C IV(1550)	5963.04	2.8452	13.46±0.48	24
159	C–20	C IV(1548)	6267.59	3.0483	13.70±0.59	13
160	C–20	C IV(1548)	6269.29	3.0494	14.44±0.38	52
161	C–20	C IV(1548)	6270.84	3.0504	14.09±0.59	23
162	C–21	C IV(1550)	6278.00	3.0483	13.80±0.29	15
163	C–21	C IV(1550)	6279.86	3.0495	14.69±0.16	55
164	C–21	C IV(1550)	6281.26	3.0504	14.59±0.41	21
165	D–5	Fe II(2344)	6419.16	1.7383	13.99±0.34	16
166		Al II(1670)	6421.50	2.8434	12.04±0.51	17
167		Al II(1670)	6423.01	2.8443	12.22±0.32	10
168		Fe II(2374)	6501.75	1.7382	14.21±0.41	16
169	D–8	Fe II(2600)	7119.79	1.7382	13.85±0.92	16

TABLE 6
LINES IN THE METAL SYSTEMS REDWARD OF Ly α EMISSION

System	z_{abs}	Ion	λ_{lab} ($\text{\AA}$)	$\log N \text{ cm}^{-2}$	b (km/s)
1	1.1190	Mg II	2803.531	12.82 $\pm$ 0.33	11
		Mg II	2796.352	setup-C-#16	
		Mg II	2803.531	setup-C-#17	
2	1.7382	Fe II	2344.214	13.99 $\pm$ 0.34	16
		Fe II	2374.461	14.21 $\pm$ 0.41	16
		Fe II	2600.173	13.85 $\pm$ 0.92	16
		Al III	1854.716	setup-C-#4	
		Cr II	2056.254	setup-C-#10	
		Cr II	2066.161	setup-C-#13	
		Fe II	2344.214	setup-D-#5	
		Fe II	2374.461	setup-D-#6	
		Fe II	2382.756	setup-D-#7	
		Fe II	2586.650	setup-D-#8	
		Fe II	2600.173	setup-D-#9	
		Mg II	2796.352	setup-D-#13	
		Mg II	2803.531	setup-D-#14	
3	2.6444	H I	1215.670	17.37 $\pm$ 0.56	7
		C IV	1548.202	13.49 $\pm$ 0.29	13
		C IV	1550.774	13.49 $\pm$ 0.34	13
		C IV	1550.770	setup-C-#12	
4	2.844	4-component absorption complex			
		O I	1302.169	setup-C-#1	
		C II	1334.532	setup-C-#6	
		Si IV	1393.755	setup-C-#7	
		Si IV	1402.770	setup-C-#8	
		Si II	1526.707	setup-C-#15	
		C IV	1548.195	setup-C-#18	
		C IV	1550.770	setup-C-#19	

TABLE 6 *continued*

System	z_{abs}	Ion	λ_{lab} (Å)	$\log N \text{ cm}^{-2}$	b (km/s)
4a	2.8434	C II	1334.532	13.34±0.43	7
		Si IV	1402.770	13.39±0.47	14
		Si II	1526.707	12.40±0.78	6
		C IV	1548.202	13.73±0.01	17
		C IV	1550.774	14.02±0.23	24
		Al II	1670.787	12.04±0.51	17
4b	2.8438	Si IV	1402.770	12.39±0.96	15
		C IV	1548.202	13.58±0.05	15
		C IV	1550.774	13.46±0.48	9
4c	2.8443	H I	1215.670	20.23±0.41	
		O I	1302.169	14.38±0.48	9
		Si II	1304.370	13.10±0.57	9
		C II	1334.532	13.96±0.47	11
		C II*	1335.708	13.22±0.68	11
		Si II	1526.707	12.76±0.67	10
		Al II	1670.787	12.22±0.32	10
4d	2.8452	Si IV	1402.770	13.03±0.73	14
		C IV	1548.202	13.55±0.21	23
		C IV	1550.774	13.46±0.48	24
5	2.8927	H I	1215.670	14.10±0.35	30
		C IV	1548.195	setup-C-#20	
		C IV	1550.770	setup-C-#21	
6	3.050	3-component absorption complex			
		N V	1238.821	setup-C-#2	
		N V	1242.804	setup-C-#3	
		Si II	1260.422	setup-C-#5	
		C II	1334.532	setup-C-#9	
		Si IV	1393.755	setup-C-#11	
		Si IV	1402.770	setup-C-#14	
		C IV	1548.195	setup-D-#3	
		C IV	1550.770	setup-D-#4	
6a	3.0483	H I	1215.670	13.98±0.63	43
		Si IV	1393.755	13.00±0.35	13
		C IV	1548.202	13.70±0.59	13
		C IV	1550.774	13.80±0.29	15

TABLE 6 *continued*

System	z_{abs}	Ion	λ_{lab} (Å)	$\log N \text{ cm}^{-2}$	b (km/s)
6b	3.0495	H I	1215.670	17.64±1.67	22
		N V	1238.821	13.32±0.60	14
		Si II	1260.422	12.58±0.61	14
		Si IV	1393.755	13.97±0.28	38
		C IV	1548.202	14.44±0.38	52
		C IV	1550.774	14.69±0.16	55
6c	3.0504	H I	1215.670	14.09±0.54	29
		N V	1238.821	12.90±1.21	12
		Si II	1260.422	12.57±0.59	11
		Si IV	1393.755	13.60±0.34	20
		C IV	1548.202	14.09±0.59	23
		C IV	1550.774	14.59±0.41	21

TABLE 7
COLUMN DENSITIES MEASURED FOR
THE ABSORPTION SYSTEM AT $z_{abs}=2.844$

Ion	λ_{lab}	$\log N$ (cm $^{-2}$)	b (km s $^{-1}$)	τ_0	z_{abs}
Voigt Profile Fit to Only the 2.8443 Component					
H I (Damped Ly α)	1215.670	20.23 $\pm$ 0.41		$\sim > 10^3$	2.8443
O I	1302.169	14.38 $\pm$ 0.48	9	2.54	2.8443
C II	1334.532	13.96 $\pm$ 0.47	11	2.12	2.8443
C II*	1335.708	13.22 $\pm$ 0.68	11	0.35	2.8445
C IV ^a		13.02	10	0.42	2.8443
Al II	1670.787	12.22 $\pm$ 0.32	10	0.76	2.8443
Si II	1190.416	13.60 $\pm$ 0.60	10	1.78	2.8443
Si II	1193.290	13.28 $\pm$ 0.45	12	1.42	2.8441
Si II	1304.416	13.10 $\pm$ 0.57	9	0.40	2.8443
Si II	1526.707	12.76 $\pm$ 0.67	10	0.30	2.8443
Si III ^b	1206.500	13.20 $\pm$ 0.76	38	0.78	2.8444
Si IV ^a	1402.770	12.58	10	0.19	2.8443
Curve Of Growth Fit to Whole $z_{abs}=2.844$ Complex					
H I Lyman series lines		19.8 $\pm$ 0.4	35 $\pm$ 5		2.8443
O I	1302.169	14.1 $\pm$ 0.1	41 $\pm$ 5 ^c		2.8447
C II	1334.532	14.0 $\pm$ 0.1	41 $\pm$ 5 ^c		2.8455
C III	977.020	16.2 $\pm$ 0.7	41 $\pm$ 5 ^c		2.8442
C IV	1548.202	14.3 $\pm$ 0.1	41 $\pm$ 5		2.8440
	1550.770				2.8439
Si II	1526.707	13.3 $\pm$ 0.1	41 $\pm$ 5 ^c		2.8446
Si IV	1393.755	13.9 $\pm$ 0.1	41 $\pm$ 5		2.8442
	1402.770				2.8442

^a From 5 pixels equivalent width on the linear part of curve of growth.

^b Blended with other absorption lines.

^c Mean b used to get column density.

TABLE 8
COLUMN DENSITY RATIOS IN THE $z_{abs} = 2.8443$ DLA SYSTEM

	Observed Ratio	Γ^a From Ratio	Ratio At $\Gamma = -2.75^b$
$\log N(\text{C II})/N(\text{C IV})$	0.92	-2.68	1.07
$\log N(\text{C II})/N(\text{Si IV})$	1.38	-2.57	1.65
$\log N(\text{C IV})/N(\text{Si IV})$	0.44	-3.09	0.58
$\log N(\text{C IV})/N(\text{Al II})$	0.80	-2.77	0.76
$\log N(\text{O I})/N(\text{C IV})$	1.36	-2.79	1.29
$\log N(\text{O I})/N(\text{Si IV})$	1.80	-2.71	1.87
$\log N(\text{Si II})/N(\text{C IV})$	0.18	-2.80	0.08
$\log N(\text{Si II})/N(\text{Si IV})$	0.62	-2.72	0.66
$\log N(\text{Si IV})/N(\text{Al II})$	0.36	-2.66	0.18
$\langle \Gamma \rangle = -2.75$			

^a Γ value where the calculated column density ratio matches the observed value.

^b Column density ratio calculated from CLOUDY code with $\Gamma = -2.75$,

$\log N(\text{H I}) = 20.2$ and $[\text{M}/\text{H}] = -2.8$.

TABLE 9
ABUNDANCE RATIOS IN THE $z_{abs} = 2.8443$ DLA SYSTEM

Ion	ABUNDANCE RELATIVE TO COSMIC			
X	[X/C]	[X/O]	[X/Al]	[X/Si]
DLA SYSTEM AT $z_{abs} = 2.8443$				
C	...	0.06(-0.2) ^a	-0.23(-0.1)	-0.23(-0.2)
O	-0.06(+0.2)	...	-0.29(+0.1)	-0.29(0.0)
Al	0.23(+0.1)	0.29(-0.1)	...	0.00(-0.1)
Si	0.23(-0.2)	0.29(0.0)	0.00(+0.1)	...
DEPLETED ISM (α Sco A) GAS ^b				
C	...	0.02	1.11	0.12
O	-0.02	...	1.09	0.10
Al	-1.11	-1.09	...	-0.99
Si	-0.12	-0.10	0.99	...

^a The leading values are for observed ions only whereas those in parenthesis are corrected for ionization

^b From Jenkins (1987).

TABLE 10
STATISTICS OF Ly α ABSORPTION LINES

QSO	FWHM (km s ^{−1})	N	$\langle z_{abs} \rangle$	$\langle b \rangle$	$\langle \log N(\text{HI}) \rangle$	Fraction with $b \leq 20\text{ km s}^{-1}$		Ref. ^a
						$\log N(\text{H I}) \leq 13.5$	$\log N(\text{H I}) > 13.5$	
All Ly α lines without metals								
0014+8118	20	294	3.0248	32	13.63	40/140 ^b =28.6%	10/154=6.5%	1
1100−264	8.5−9	71	1.9895	34	13.48	9/40=22.5%	3/31= 9.7%	2
1946+7658	10	113	2.7926	27	13.85	12/28=42.8%	28/85=32.9%	3
1946+7658 ^c	10	43	2.9674	31	13.78	3/14=21.4%	4/29=13.8%	3
2126−158	14	130	3.0782	27	13.62	35/65=53.8%	13/65=20%	4
2206−199N	6	101	2.3501	27	13.54	30/60=50.0%	2/41= 4.9%	6
Unsaturated Ly α lines without metals								
1946+7658	10	96	2.7976	28	13.64	12/28=42.8%	19/68=27.9%	3
1946+7658 ^c	10	36	2.9661	32	13.63	3/14=21.4%	4/22=18.2%	3
2126−158	14	93	3.0779	27	13.43	34/56=60.1%	4/37=10.8%	4
2206−199N	6	41	2.3721	17	13.34	24/27=88.9%	4/14=28.6%	5
2206−199N	6	39	2.3733	22	13.37	17/26=65.4%	1/13=7.8%	6

^a Ref. (1) Rauch et al. (1992); (2) Carswell et al. (1991); (3) This paper; (4) Giallongo et al. (1993); (5) Pettini et al. (1990a); (6) Rauch et al. (1993).

^b 40 out of 140 lines with $\log N(\text{H I}) \leq 13.5$ have $b \leq 20$.

^c Ly α lines in spectra with $8 < \text{SNR} < 16$, which are all at $\lambda \geq 4700 \text{ \AA}$.

REFERENCES

- Atwood, B., Baldwin, J. A., & Carswell, R. F. 1985, *ApJ*, 292, 58
- Babul, A. 1991, *MNRAS*, 248, 177
- Bajtlik, S., Duncan, R. C., & Ostriker, J. P. 1988, *ApJ*, 327, 570
- Bahcall, J. N., & Wolf, R. A. 1968, *ApJ*, 152, 701
- Bechtold, J., Weymann, R. J., Zuo, L., & Malkan, M. A. 1987, *ApJ*, 281, 76
- Bechtold, J. 1993, preprint.
- Carswell, R. F., & Rees, M. J. 1987, *MNRAS*, 224, 13
- Carswell, R. F., Webb, J. K., Baldwin, J. A., & Atwood, B. 1987, *ApJ*, 319, 709
- Carswell, R. F., Lanzetta, K. M., Parnell, H. C., & Webb, J. K. 1991, *ApJ*, 371, 36
- Fan, F. X., & Tytler, D. 1989, *BAAS*, 21, 797
- Fall, S. M., & Pei, Y. C. 1989, *ApJ*, 337, 7
- Ferland, G. J. 1991, Ohio State University (OSU) Astronomy Department Internal Report 91-01.
- Giallongo, E., Cristiani, S., Fontana, A., & Trèvese, D. 1993, *ApJ*, 416, 137
- Hagen, H.-J., Cordis, L., Engels, D., Groote, D., Haug, U., Heber, U., Köhler, Th., Wisotzki, L., & Reimers, D. 1992, *A&A*, 253, L5
- Horne, K. 1986, *PASP*, 98, 609
- Jenkins, E. B. 1986, *ApJ*, 304, 739
- Jenkins, E. B. 1987, in *Interstellar Processes*, p533, eds. D. J. Hollenbach & H. A. Thronson, Jr.
- James, F., & Roos, M. 1975, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 10, 343
- Lanzetta, K. M., & Bowen, D. V. 1992, *ApJ*, 391, 48
- Lanzetta, K. M., Bowen, D. V., Tytler, D., & Webb, J. K. 1994, *ApJ*, submitted.
- Lu, L., Wolfe, A. M., & Turnshek, D. A. 1991, *ApJ*, 367, 19
- Lu, L., Wolfe, A. M., Turnshek, D. A., & Lanzetta, K. M. 1993, *ApJS*, 84, 1
- Miller, J. S., & Stone, R. P. S. 1987, Lick Observatory Technical Report 48, *The CCD Cassegrain Spectrograph at the Shane Reflector*
- Misch, A. 1991, Lick Observatory Technical Report 58, *The Hamilton Spectrograph User's Manual*.
- Morris, S. L., Weymann, R. J., Foltz, C. B., Turnshek, D. A., Shectman, S., Price, S., & Boroson, T. A., 1986, *ApJ*, 310, 40
- Murdoch, H. S., Hunstead, R. W., Peterson, B. A., Blades, J. C., Jauncey, D. L., Wright, A. E., Pettini, M., & Savage, A. 1986, *ApJ*, 305, 496
- Ostriker, J. P., Bajtlik, S. & Duncan, R. C. 1988, *ApJL*, 327, L35
- Osterbrock, D. E., & Martel, André 1992, *PASP*, 104, 76
- Pettini, M., Hunstead, R. W., Smith, L. J., & Mar, D. P. 1990a, *MNRAS*, 246, 545 (PHSM)
- Pettini, M., Boksenberg, A., & Hunstead, R. W. 1990b, *ApJ*, 348, 48
- Pettini, M., Smith, L. J., Hunstead, R. W., & King, D. L. 1994, *ApJ*, submitted.

- Rauch, M., Carswell, R. F., Robertson, J. G., Shaver, P. A., & Webb, J. K. 1990, MNRAS, 242, 698
- Rauch, M., Carswell, R. F., Chaffee, F. H, Foltz, C. B., Webb, J. K., Weymann, R. J., Bechtold, J., & Green, R. F. 1992, ApJ, 390, 387
- Rauch, M., Carswell, R. F., Webb, J. K., & Weymann, R. J. 1993, MNRAS, 260, 589
- Reimers, D., Vogel, S., Hagen, H.-J., Engels, D., Groote, D., Wamsteker, W., Clavel, J. & Rosa, M. R. 1992, Nature, 360, 561
- Sanz, J. L., Clavel, J., Naylor, T., & Wamsteker, W. 1993, MNRAS, 260, 468
- Sargent, W. L. W., Young, P., Boksenberg, A., & Tytler, D. 1980, ApJS, 42, 41
- Sargent, W. L. W., Steidel, C. C., & Boksenberg, A. 1990, ApJ, 351, 364
- Spitzer, Jr., L. 1978, *Physical processes in the interstellar medium*
- Steidel, C. C. 1990, ApJS, 74, 37
- Turnshek, D. A., Wolfe, A. M., Lanzetta, K. M., Briggs, F. H., Cohen, R. D., Foltz, C. B., Smith, H. E. and Wilkes, B. J. 1989, ApJ, 344, 567
- Tytler, D. 1982, Ph.D. Thesis, University of College London.
- Tytler, D. 1987a, ApJ, 321, 69
- Tytler, D., & Fan, X.-M. 1992, ApJS, 79, 1
- Tytler, D., Fan, X.-M., Junkkarinen, V. T., & Cohen, R. S. AJ, 1993, 106, 426
- Vogt, S. S. 1987, PASP, 99, 1214
- Vogel, S., & Reimers, D. 1993 A&A, 274, L5
- Webb, J. K. & Barcons, X. 1991, MNRAS, 250, 270
- Wolfe, A. M., Turnshek, D. A., Lanzetta, K. M., & Lu, L. 1993, ApJ, 404, 480
- Young, P. J., Sargent, W. L. W, Boksenberg, A., Carswell, R. F., & Whelan, J. A. 1979, ApJ, 229, 891

FIGURE CAPTIONS

- Fig. 1 Intermediate resolution spectra of HS 1946+7658, along with the 1σ noise array: (a) setup-A; (b) setup-B; (c) setup-C; (d) setup-D and (e) setup-E. The flux is f_ν , in units of micro-Jansky. We did not smooth the data, and we show individual pixels. Arrows show the emission line peak positions which we list in Table 3.
- Fig. 2 Echelle spectrum of HS 1946+7658, normalized to unit continuum (*histogram*), and fitted Voigt profiles (*smoothed solid line*). We did not smooth the data, and we show each 2×2 binned pixel ($\sim 0.09 \text{ \AA}$).
- Fig. 3 Metal absorption lines on a velocity scale relative to the low ionization component at $z_{abs}=2.8443$. The vertical *dash* lines show the 4 absorption components, from left to right, $z_{abs}=2.8434, 2.8438, 2.8443, 2.8452$. The echelle data (*histogram*) have been fitted with Voigt profiles (*smooth solid line*). Each line has been normalized to unit continuum intensity level. We fitted each line independently, so they need not have identical velocities. Low ionization lines are concentrated in the $z_{abs}=2.8443$ component, while the high ionization lines are in other components.
- Fig. 4 A Voigt profile fit to H Lyman series lines of the DLA system at $z_{abs} = 2.8443$ with $\log N(\text{H I})=19.8$ and $b=35 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. The *histogram* shows the observed data and the *dotted line* shows the fit. The resulting simulated spectrum was convolved with the instrumental profile for a direct comparison with the observation. The LLS at $\lambda \sim 3520 \text{ \AA}$ is caused by the DLA system. Note that the neutral and singly ionized ions have $b=10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, so some H I is extended in velocity space.
- Fig. 5 Metal absorption lines in the $z_{abs}=3.050$ complex shown on a velocity scale relative to $z_{abs}=3.050$. The complex has three velocity components, indicated by the *dash* vertical lines, which correspond to (left to right) $z_{abs}=3.0482, 3.0495$ and 3.0504 . The echelle spectra (*histogram*) have been fitted with Voigt profiles (*smooth solid line*). Each segment has been normalized to unit continuum.
- Fig. 6 Curve of growth for the $z_{abs}=2.844$ system. The *solid line* is a $b=41 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ best fit to metal lines redward of $\text{Ly}\alpha$ emission line. The *dashed* line has $b_{\text{H}}=35 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and is a better fit to the H Lyman series lines which are represented by stars, from right to left $\text{Ly}\alpha$, $\text{Ly}\beta$, $\text{Ly}\gamma$, etc. Damping wings are important at $\log(Nf\lambda) \geq 12$. In the upper right corner we show curves for damped $\text{Ly}\alpha$ (upper) and damped $\text{Ly}\beta$ (lower) only. In each case the *dot-dash* line is for $b = 41$, while the *dotted* line is for $b = 35$. $\text{Ly}\gamma$ and $\text{Ly}\delta$ are blends.
- Fig. 7 The C II λ 1334 and C II* λ 1335 lines on a velocity scale with respect to $z_{abs}=2.8443$. The *Histogram* and the *smooth solid line* represent the data and fitted profile respectively. There is a 15 km s^{-1} velocity shift from C II to C II*. On the bottom panel we show the column density ratio $R(v)$ (see §4.2.3).

Fig. 8 Photoionization model results for a cloud with column density $\log N(\text{H I})=20.2$, and metal abundance $[\text{M}/\text{H}]=-2.8$. The plot shows the expected relative column densities for ions of carbon, silicon, oxygen and aluminum, as a function of ionization parameter $\Gamma \equiv n_\gamma/n_{\text{H}}$. The names of the ions are written above their lines, and we show the measurements of the column densities of O I (*cross*), C II (*open triangle*), Si II (*open diamond*), C IV (*filled circle*), Si IV (*filled square*) and Al II (*open square*). We adopt $\log \Gamma = -2.75 \pm 0.30$.

Fig. 9 Distribution of Ly α lines with $W_r \geq 0.36 \text{ \AA}$ from the spectra of 16 QSOs all with DLA systems. The co-evolving coordinate is χ_γ , with $\gamma = 2.7$, where $\chi_\gamma = [(1+z)^\gamma - 1]/(1+\gamma)$. Each *vertical line* indicates a Ly α line, and the DLA line regions are indicated by the *horizontal lines*. The mean line density does appear to vary a lot on scales of $\chi \simeq 5$, but HS 1946+769 is typical, and there is no general change in the Ly α line density near the DLA systems.

Fig. 10 Distribution of Doppler parameter b versus $\log N(\text{H I})$ for the Ly α forest absorption lines: *solid dots* and *crosses* represent those unsaturated lines with $\text{SNR} < 8$ (at $\lambda < 4500 \text{ \AA}$) and $\text{SNR} > 8$ (at $\lambda > 4700 \text{ \AA}$) respectively; *open circles* represent saturated lines and *pluses* indicate the saturated lines with $\text{SNR} > 8$. The theoretical curves show b against $\log N(\text{H I})$ for rest equivalent widths $W_r=0.40 \text{ \AA}$ (*solid line*), $W_r=0.32 \text{ \AA}$ (*dashed line*), $W_r=0.20 \text{ \AA}$ (*dot-dash-dot line*), $W_r=0.10 \text{ \AA}$ (*dotted line*), $W_r=0.05 \text{ \AA}$ (*dash-dot-dot-dot line*).